arXiv:0810.2767v2 [math.RT] 21 Mar 2010

WREATH HECKE ALGEBRAS AND CENTRALIZER CONSTRUCTION FOR WREATH PRODUCTS

JINKUI WAN

Abstract. Generalizing the centralizer construction of Molev and Olshanski on symmetric groups, we study the structures of the centralizer $\mathcal{Z}_{m,n}$ of the wreath product G_{n-m} in the group algebra of G_n for any $n \geq m$. We establish the connection between $\mathcal{Z}_{m,n}$ and a generalization of degenerate affine Hecke algebras introduced in our earlier work.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. The centralizer construction 3
3. The structure of the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0$ 8
4. The structure of the algebras $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m, m > 0$ 15
5. The centralizers $\mathcal{Z}_{m,n}$ and wreath Hecke algebras 23

References 27

1. Introduction

1.1. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an arbitrary field. It is well known that there is a canonical surjective homomorphism from the degenerate affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_m$ introduced by Drinfeld [Dr] and Lusztig [Lus] to the group algebra $\mathbb{F}S_m$ of the symmetric group S_m, where the polynomial generators are mapped to the Jucys-Murphy elements in $\mathbb{F}S_m$. This has played a key role in a new approach to the representation theory of symmetric groups in arbitrary characteristic (cf. Kleshchev's book [K]). Let G be a finite group and G_m be the wreath product of G with the symmetric group S_m. In a joint work with Wang [WW], the author has further explored modular representations of wreath products G_m by introducing wreath Hecke algebras $\mathcal{H}_m(G)$. When $G = C_r$ a cyclic group, $\mathcal{H}_m(C_r)$ also appeared in Ram and Shepler [RS] in their search of degenerate (=graded) Hecke algebras associated to complex reflection groups. As in the case of symmetric groups, there exists a surjective homomorphism from $\mathcal{H}_m(G)$ to $\mathbb{F}G_m$ with the polynomial generators being mapped to the generalized Jucys-Murphy elements in $\mathbb{F}G_m$ (introduced independently in [Pu] and [W1] with different applications).

On the other hand, Olshanski [O1] shows that there is a natural homomorphism from the degenerate affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_m$ to the centralizer of S_{n-m} in the group algebra $\mathbb{F}S_n$ for any $n \geq m$. This fact is used by Okounkov and Vershik [OV] to develop a new approach to the representation theory of the symmetric groups over the complex field

$\mathbb{C}$. In [MO], Molev and Olshanski further studied the centralizer of S_{n-m} in $\mathbb{F}S_n$ and establish its deeper connection with $\mathcal{H}_m$.

The main goal of the present work is to study the algebraic structure of the centralizer $\mathcal{Z}_{m,n}$ of the wreath product G_{n-m} in $\mathbb{F}G_n$ and investigate its interrelation with the wreath Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_m(G)$. We are much inspired by the approach of centralizer constructions for Lie algebras $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ in [O1] and symmetric groups S_n in [MO]. Our work specializes to [MO] when $G = \{1\}$, however we need to generalize various concepts from symmetric groups and develop new delicate combinatorial analysis in order to treat the extra complications coming from the presence of the group G.

1.2. The approach of centralizer construction is proposed by Olshanski in [O1], where the centralizer $\mathcal{A}_m(n)$ of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n-m)$ in the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{gl}(n))$ is studied. There exists a chain of algebra homomorphisms

$$\cdots \to \mathcal{A}_m(n) \to \mathcal{A}_m(n-1) \to \cdots \to \mathcal{A}_m(m+1) \to \mathcal{A}_m(m), \tag{1.1}$$

associated to which one can introduce and study the projective limit algebra $\mathcal{A}_m$. It turns out that the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}(m))$ [O2] for $\mathfrak{gl}(m)$ appears as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_m$ and there is a surjective homomorphism from $Y(\mathfrak{gl}(m))$ to the centralizer $\mathcal{A}_m(n)$. When Molev and Olshanski tried to extend the construction to symmetric groups, they encountered the new difficulty that there does not exist a natural analog of the chain (1.1) for the centralizers of S_{n-m} in $\mathbb{F}S_n$. However it turns out to work well if one takes the semigroup algebra $\mathbb{F}\Gamma(n)$ instead of the group algebra $\mathbb{F}S_n$, where $\Gamma(n)$ is the semigroup of $(0,1)$-matrices which have at most one 1 in each row and column (cf. [MO]).

When we extend the work to wreath products, the same problem arises and hence similarly we shall begin with the semigroup $\overline{G}_n$ consisting of $n \times n$-matrices with entries in $G \cup \{0\}$ such that any row and column have at most one nonzero entry, which specializes to the semigroup $\Gamma(n)$ when $G = \{1\}$. Indeed we have the canonical projection $\theta_n : \overline{G}_n \to \overline{G}_{n-1}$ which maps $\gamma \in \overline{G}_n$ to the upper left corner of γ of order $n-1$. Fix a nonnegative integer m and for any $n \geq m$, denote by $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$ the centralizer of $\overline{G}_{n-m}$ in the semigroup algebra $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$. The projection $\theta_n : \overline{G}_n \to \overline{G}_{n-1}$ gives rise to a chain of homomorphisms for the algebras $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$ as:

$$\cdots \to \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,-1} \to \cdots \to \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,m+1} \to \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,m} = \mathbb{F}\overline{G}_m.$$

We define the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ as the corresponding projective limit. We show that the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ has a decomposition as :

$$\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m \cong \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0 \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}}_m(G),$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_m(G)$ is a "semigroup analog" of the wreath Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_m(G)$ in [WW]. We obtain an algebra homomorphism $\overline{\Psi} : \overline{\mathcal{H}}_m(G) \to \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$ for any $n \geq m$ and formulate a presentation of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_m(G)$ by generators and relations. We show that there exists a natural surjective algebra homomorphism from $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$ to $\mathbb{F}G_n$ and moreover it induces epimorphisms $\overline{\Phi} : \overline{\mathcal{H}}_m(G) \to \mathcal{H}_m(G)$ and $\Phi : \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n} \to \mathcal{Z}_{m,n}$. Hence we obtain a natural homomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{H}_m(G) \to \mathcal{Z}_{m,n}$ and the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{\mathcal{H}}_m(G) \arrow[r, "\overline{\Psi}"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}"'] & \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n} \arrow[d, "\Phi"] \\
\mathcal{H}_m(G) \arrow[r, "\Psi"] & \mathcal{Z}_{m,n}
\end{tikzcd}$$

As an application, we consider the case when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and show that the Gelfand-Zetlin subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}G_n$ is generated by the Jucys-Murphy elements in $\mathbb{C}G_n$ and a maximal commutative subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}G^n$. It is semisimple and a maximal commutative subalgebra of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}G_n$. This is a wreath analog of the result in [O1, Theorem 11] for symmetric groups.

1.3. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the semigroup $\overline{G}_n$ which is a generalization of the wreath product G_n. For each $m \geq 0$ we construct the algebras $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ as projective limits of the centralizers $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$ of $\overline{G}_{n-m}$ in $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$ for all $n \geq m$. In Section 3, we give a linear basis for the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0$. In Section 4, we investigate the algebraic structure of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ for $m > 0$. In Section 5, we give an interrelation between $\mathcal{Z}_{m,n}$ and wreath Hecke algebras $\mathcal{H}_m(G)$.

Acknowledgements. I am deeply grateful to my advisor W. Wang for many helpful suggestions for this paper.

2. The centralizer construction

2.1. **The wreath products.** Let G be a finite group with unity 1, and $G_* = \{C_1, \ldots, C_r\}$ be the set of all conjugacy classes of G with $C_1 = \{1\}$. The symmetric group S_n acts on the product group $G^n = G \times \cdots \times G$ by permutations:

$$^{w}g := w(g_1, \ldots, g_n) = (g_{w^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, g_{w^{-1}(n)})$$

for $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in G^n$ and $w \in S_n$. The wreath product of G with S_n is defined to be the semidirect product

$$G_n = G^n \rtimes S_n = \{(g, w)|\ g = (g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in G^n, w \in S_n\}$$

with the multiplication

$$(g, w)(h, \tau) = (^{w}g\, h, w\tau).$$

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l)$ be a partition of integer $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_l$, where $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_l \geq 1$. We will identify the partition $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l)$ with $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l, 0, \ldots, 0)$. We will also write a partition as $\lambda = (1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \cdots)$, where m_i is the number of parts in λ equal to i.

We will use partitions indexed by G_*. For a finite set X and $\rho = (\rho(x))_{x \in X}$ a family of partitions indexed by X, we write

$$\|\rho\| = \sum_{x \in X} |\rho(x)|.$$

Sometimes it is convenient to regard $\rho = (\rho(x))_{x \in X}$ as a partition-valued function on X. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(X)$ the set of all partitions indexed by X and by $\mathcal{P}_n(X)$ the set of all partitions in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ such that $\|\rho\| = n$.

The conjugacy classes of G_n can be described as follows. Let $x = (g, \sigma) \in G_n$, where $g = (g_1, \cdots, g_n) \in G^n$, $\sigma \in S_n$. The permutation σ is written as a product of disjoint cycles. For each such cycle $y = (i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k)$ the element $g_{i_k} g_{i_{k-1}} \cdots g_{i_1} \in G$ is determined up to conjugacy in G by g and y, and will be called the *cycle-product* of x corresponding to the cycle y. For any conjugacy class C and each integer $i \geq 1$, the number of i-cycles in σ such that the corresponding cycle-product lies in C will be denoted by $m_i(C)$. Denote by $\rho(C)$ the partition $(1^{m_1(C)} 2^{m_2(C)} \ldots)$, $C \in G_*$. Then each element $x = (g, \sigma) \in G_n$ gives rise to a partition-valued function $(\rho(C))_{C \in G_*} \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ such that $\sum_{i,C} i m_i(C) = n$. The partition-valued function $\rho = (\rho(C))_{C \in G_*} = (\rho(C_1), \ldots, \rho(C_r))$ is called the *type* of x. It is known (cf. [JK, Section 4.2], [Mac, Chapter I, Appendix B]) that any two elements of G_n are conjugate in G_n if and only if they have the same type.

2.2. **Jucys-Murphy elements.** Note that for each $1 \leq m \leq n$, G_m embeds in G_n canonically as the subgroup $G_m \times 1$. On the other hand, G_m can also embed in G_n as the subgroup $1 \times G_m$, which we shall denote by G'_m; namely

$$G'_m := \{((g_1, \cdots, g_n), \sigma) |\ g_i = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-m, \sigma \text{ fixes } 1, \ldots, n-m\}. \tag{2.1}$$

For each $h \in G$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $h^{(i)} \in G^n$ correspond to h in the ith factor group $G^{(i)}$ of G^n. For each $1 \leq k < l \leq n$, set

$$t_{kl} = \sum_{h \in G} h^{(k)} (h^{-1})^{(l)}.$$

Recall the (generalized) *Jucys-Murphy elements* $\xi_k \in \mathbb{F}G_n (1 \leq k \leq n)$ are introduced independently in [Pu] and [W1] as follows:

$$\xi_k := \sum_{l=k+1}^{n} \sum_{h \in G} \Big(h^{(k)} (h^{-1})^{(l)}, (k, l) \Big) = \sum_{l=k+1}^{n} t_{kl} (k, l), \tag{2.2}$$

where (k, l) is the transposition permuting k and l in the symmetric group S_n. Note that $\xi_n = 0$ and ξ_k commutes with G'_{n-k}. As $\xi_k \in \mathbb{F}G'_{n-k+1}$, it follows that the Jucys-Murphy elements commute.

2.3. **The semigroups $\overline{G}_n$.** We shall often identify the symmetric group S_n with the group consisting of $(0,1)$-matrices of size $n \times n$ which have exactly one 1 in each row and each column, where the product is the matrix multiplication. Indeed each permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ corresponds to the $n \times n$ matrix $M(\sigma)$ as follows:

$$M(\sigma)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \sigma(j) = i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Now we consider the union $G \cup \{0\}$, where 0 is an extra symbol, and adopt the convention that

$$g0 = 0g = 0, g + 0 = 0 + g = g \text{ for any } g \in G. \tag{2.3}$$

Then the wreath product G_n can be identified with the group consisting of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $G \cup \{0\}$ such that any row and column have **exactly** one nonzero entry, where the product is the matrix multiplication with the convention (2.3). In fact, the map sending $g \in G^n$ and $\sigma \in S_n$ to $\Delta(g)$ and $M(\sigma)$ respectively is a group isomorphism, where $\Delta(g)$ is the diagonal matrix with $\Delta(g)_{ii} = g_i$ for any $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in G^n$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Definition 2.1. For each $n \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$, define $\overline{G}_n$ to be the semigroup which consists of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $G \cup \{0\}$ such that any row and column have **at most** one nonzero entry, where the product is the matrix multiplication with the convention (2.3). We set $\overline{G}_0 = \{1\}$. Note that the wreath product G_n is a subgroup of the semigroup $\overline{G}_n$.

Remark 2.2. The semigroup $\overline{G}_n$ is the specialization of the semigroup $\Gamma(n, D)$ introduced in [MO, Definition 5.3] to the case when $D = G$. Moreover if $G = \{1\}$, then $\overline{G}_n$ coincides with the semigroup $\Gamma(n)$ of partial bijections on the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ introduced in [MO, Definition 2.6].

Definition 2.3. For $1 \leq m \leq n$, we define two mappings as follows.

(1) The *canonical projection* $\theta : \overline{G}_n \to \overline{G}_m$. For $\gamma \in \overline{G}_n$, $\theta(\gamma)$ is the upper left corner of γ of order m.

(2) The *canonical embedding* $\phi : \overline{G}_m \hookrightarrow \overline{G}_n$. For each $\gamma \in \overline{G}_m$, $\phi(\gamma)$ is defined as follows:

$$\phi(\gamma)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \gamma_{ij}, & \text{if } 1 \leq i, j \leq m, \\ \delta_{ij}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let us denote by ε_i the diagonal $n \times n$-matrix whose (i, i)th entry is 0 and all other diagonal entries are equal to 1. Note that the semigroup $\overline{G}_n$ is generated by G_n and the elements ε_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Moreover we have for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $h \in G, g \in G^n, \sigma \in S_n$,

$$h^{(i)}\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_i h^{(i)} = \varepsilon_i, \quad g\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_i g, \quad \sigma\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_{\sigma(i)}\sigma. \tag{2.4}$$

Let $s_i = (i, i+1) \in S_n$ be the transposition for each $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. It is known that S_n is generated by $s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$ subject to the relation

$$s_i^2 = 1, \quad s_i s_j = s_j s_i, \quad s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}, \quad |i - j| > 1. \tag{2.5}$$

Proposition 2.4. *The semigroup algebra* $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$ *of* $\overline{G}_n$ *is isomorphic to the abstract algebra generated by* $s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}, \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n$ *and all* $g \in G^n$ *subject to (2.5) and the following relations:*

$$s_i g = {}^{s_i}g\, s_i, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\varepsilon_i^2 = \varepsilon_i, \quad \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j = \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_i, \tag{2.7}$$

$$s_i \varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_{i+1} s_i, \quad s_i \varepsilon_j = \varepsilon_j s_i, \quad s_i \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_{i+1} = \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_{i+1}, \quad j \neq i, i+1, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\varepsilon_i g = g \varepsilon_i, \quad \varepsilon_i h^{(i)} = h^{(i)} \varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_i, \quad \forall g \in G^n, h \in G. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. Let us denote by $\mathcal{A}(n, G)$ the abstract algebra in the proposition. Note that (2.5)-(2.9) hold in $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$. So there exists a surjective algebra homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}(n, G)$ to $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$. Then it suffices to show the dimension of $\mathcal{A}(n, G)$ is no bigger than that of $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$. On the one hand, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n = |\overline{G}_n| = \sum_{t=0}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} n \\ t \end{pmatrix}^2 t! |G|^t. \tag{2.10}$$

On the other hand, it follows from the relations (2.8) and (2.9) that

$$\mathcal{A}(n,G)=\mathbb{F}G_n\cdot\mathbb{F}\langle\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_n\rangle,$$

where $\mathbb{F}\langle\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_n\rangle$ is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}(n,G)$ generated by $\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_n$. Observe that relation (2.7) implies that $\mathbb{F}\langle\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_n\rangle$ is spanned by the monomials $\varepsilon_{i_1}\cdots\varepsilon_{i_t}$ with $i_1<\cdots<i_t$ and $0\le t\le n$. Next we shall estimate the dimension of the subspace $\mathbb{F}G_n\varepsilon_{i_1}\cdots\varepsilon_{i_t}$. Using (2.4) we may assume $i_l=l$ for each $1\le l\le t$ without loss of generality. By (2.8) and (2.9) we have in $\mathcal{A}(n,G)$

$$\beta G_t\varepsilon_1\cdots\varepsilon_t=\beta\varepsilon_1\cdots\varepsilon_t,\quad\forall\beta\in G_n.$$

Here G_t is regarded as a subgroup of G_n. Hence the dimension of the subspace $\mathbb{F}G_n\varepsilon_1\cdots\varepsilon_t$ is no bigger than the number of left cosets of G_t in G_n. Therefore, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}\mathcal{A}(n,G)$ does not exceed

$$\sum_{t=0}^{n}\begin{pmatrix} n\\ t\end{pmatrix}\frac{n!}{t!}|G|^{n-t}$$

which coincides with (2.10). □

Corollary 2.5. *The mapping*

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi:\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n&\to\mathbb{F}G_n, \qquad (2.11)\\ g\mapsto g, s_i\mapsto(i,i+1),\varepsilon_j&\mapsto 0,\quad\forall g\in G^n,1\le i\le n-1,1\le j\le n\end{aligned}$$

is an algebra homomorphism, which is an extension of the identity map of the subalgebra $\mathbb{F}G_n$.

2.4. **The construction of the limit centralizer algebras $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$.** Note that the canonical embedding ϕ in the case $m=n-1$ in Definition 2.3 can be extended to algebra embeddings $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_{n-1}\hookrightarrow\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$ by linearity. In the remainder of the paper, the embedding induced by ϕ will be referred to when an element in $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_{n-1}$(resp. $\overline{G}_{n-1}$) is regarded as belonging to $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$(resp. $\overline{G}_n$).

We shall denote by θ_n the canonical projection $\overline{G}_n\to\overline{G}_{n-1}$ in Definition 2.3(1). We extend θ_n to a linear map $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n\to\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_{n-1}$, still denoted by θ_n, which is not an algebra homomorphism.

For any $0\le m\le n$, denote by $\overline{G}'_{n-m}$ the subsemigroup of $\overline{G}_n$ which consists of the matrices with first m diagonal entries equal to 1. Set the centralizer of $\overline{G}'_{n-m}$ in $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$ to be

$$\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}=\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n^{\overline{G}'_{n-m}}.$$

Similarly, let us set the centralizer of G'_{n-m} in $\mathbb{F}G_n$ to be

$$\mathcal{Z}_{m,n}=\mathbb{F}G_n^{G'_{n-m}}.$$

In particular, $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{0,n}$ are the centers of $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$ and of $\mathbb{F}G_n$, respectively. Observe that the algebra homomorphism $\Phi:\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n\to\mathbb{F}G_n$ in Corollary 2.5 maps the subalgebra $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}'_{n-m}$ to $\mathbb{F}G'_{n-m}$ and hence we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *The algebra homomorphism* $\Phi:\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n\to\mathbb{F}G_n$ *in Corollary 2.5 maps* $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$ *onto* $\mathcal{Z}_{m,n}$.

Let us denote by $\mathbb{Z}_+$ the set of nonnegative integers. Next we shall introduce the limit centralizer algebras $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Lemma 2.7. *The restriction of the linear map $\theta_n : \mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n \to \mathbb{F}\overline{G}_{n-1}$ to $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{n-1,n} \subseteq \mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$ defines an algebra homomorphism $\theta_n : \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{n-1,n} \to \mathbb{F}\overline{G}_{n-1}$. Moreover,*

$$\theta_n(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n-1}.$$

Proof. Observe that $\theta_n(x)$ coincides with $\varepsilon_n x \varepsilon_n$ for each $x \in \mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$ if we identify the algebra $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_{n-1}$ with the subalgebra of $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$ spanned by $\gamma \in \overline{G}_n$ whose nth row and column are zero. Note that any $x \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{n-1,n} = \mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n^{\overline{G}'_1}$ commutes with ε_n since ε_n is contained in $\overline{G}'_1$. Hence, if $x, y \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{n-1,n}$, using the fact that ε_n is an idempotent, then

$$\theta_n(xy) = \varepsilon_n xy \varepsilon_n = \varepsilon_n x \varepsilon_n \varepsilon_n y \varepsilon_n = \theta_n(x)\theta_n(y).$$

The second claim can be checked by a direct computation using the identification of $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_{n-1}$ with the subalgebra of $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$ spanned by $\gamma \in \overline{G}_n$ whose nth row and column are zero. □

For each positive integer n, set $\mathbb{N}_n = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

Definition 2.8. For $\gamma \in \overline{G}_n$, set

$$\begin{aligned} J(\gamma) &= \{k \mid 1 \le k \le n, \gamma_{kk} \ne 1\}, \\ \bar{J}(\gamma) &= \{k \mid 1 \le k \le n, \gamma_{kk} = 1\} = \mathbb{N}_n \backslash J(\gamma), && (2.12) \\ \deg(\gamma) &= |J(\gamma)|, && (2.13) \\ \operatorname{rank}(\gamma) &= \{l \mid 1 \le l \le n, \gamma_{kl} \ne 0 \text{ for some } 1 \le k \le n\}. && (2.14) \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.9. Recall that each element $(g, \sigma) \in G_n$ with $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in G^n$ and $\sigma \in S_n$ can be identified with the matrix $\Delta(g)M(\sigma)$, where $\Delta(g)$ is the diagonal matrix with $\Delta(g)_{ii} = g_i$ and the matrix $M(\sigma)$ is as follows:

$$M(\sigma)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \sigma(j) = i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have $J((g, \sigma)) = \{j \in \mathbb{N}_n \mid \sigma(j) \ne j \text{ or } g_j \ne 1\}$, which is introduced in [W2, Subsection 2.2].

Note that each $\tau \in \mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$ can be written as a linear combination $\tau = \sum_{\gamma \in \overline{G}_n} \tau_\gamma \gamma$ with $\tau_\gamma \in \mathbb{F}$, then we set

$$\deg(\tau) = \max\{\deg(\gamma) \mid \tau_\gamma \ne 0\}. \tag{2.15}$$

Lemma 2.10. *Let $\gamma, \gamma' \in \overline{G}_n$. Then $\deg(\gamma\gamma') \le \deg(\gamma) + \deg(\gamma')$.*

Proof. Note that for each $1 \le k \le n$, if $\gamma_{kk} = 1 = \gamma'_{kk}$, then $(\gamma\gamma')_{kk} = 1$. Hence $\bar{J}(\gamma) \cap \bar{J}(\gamma') \subseteq \bar{J}(\gamma\gamma')$, and therefore $J(\gamma\gamma') \subseteq J(\gamma) \cup J(\gamma')$. □

For each $0 \le k \le n$, let $(\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n)^k$ be the subspace of $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$ spanned by the subset $\{\gamma \mid \gamma \in \overline{G}_n, \deg(\gamma) \le k\}$. Then we obtain a filtration of the space $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$:

$$\mathbb{F} = (\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n)^0 \subseteq (\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n)^1 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq (\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n)^n = \mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n.$$

Lemma 2.10 implies that this filtration is compatible with the algebra structure of $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$. Clearly there exists a filtration on each centralizer $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$ inherited from $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$. For each $0 \le k \le n$, denote by $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^k_{m,n}$ the kth term of the filtration on $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$. Note that for $\gamma \in \overline{G}_n$ the degree of $\theta_n(\gamma)$ can be equal either to $\deg(\gamma)$ or $\deg(\gamma)-1$. Therefore the homomorphism θ_n in Lemma 2.7 is compatible with the filtration on $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$. This implies $\theta_n(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^k_{m,n}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{Z}}^k_{m,n-1}$ for $0 \le k \le n$.

Definition 2.11. For $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, let $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ be the projective limit of the sequence

$$\cdots \to \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n-1} \to \cdots \to \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,m+1} \to \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,m} = \mathbb{F}\overline{G}_m$$

taken in the category of filtered algebras. That is, an element $\alpha \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ is a sequence $\{\alpha_n |\ n \ge m\}$ such that

$$\alpha_n \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}, \quad \theta_n(\alpha_n) = \alpha_{n-1}, \quad \deg(\alpha) = \sup_{n\ge m}\deg(\alpha_n) < \infty$$

with the componentwise operations.

Denote by θ^n the canonical projection

$$\theta^n : \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m \to \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}, \qquad \alpha = (\alpha_n | n \ge m) \mapsto \alpha_n.$$

The kth term of the filtered algebras $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ will be denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^k_m$.

Lemma 2.12. *For each $m \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$, there exists an embedding $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_m \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ whose image consists of constant sequences.*

Proof. Observe that for each $n \ge m$, $\overline{G}_m$ commutes with $\overline{G}'_{n-m}$ in $\overline{G}_n$ if we identify $\overline{G}_m$ with its image in $\overline{G}_n$ under the canonical embedding induced by ϕ in Definition 2.3. Hence the mapping $\tau \mapsto \alpha = (\alpha_n | n \ge m)$ with $\alpha_n = \tau$ defines an algebra embedding. □

Since $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m+1,n}$, we have natural injective algebra homomorphisms $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m+1}$ defined by $(\alpha_n | n \ge m) \mapsto (\alpha_n | n \ge m+1)$. Let us define $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ to be the direct limit (the union) of the algebras $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ with respect to the embeddings $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m+1}$.

Proposition 2.13. *The center of the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ coincides with $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0$.*

Proof. Clearly $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$ commutes with $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$ for any $n \ge m$ since $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$ is the center of the algebra $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$. Hence $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0$ is contained in the center of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ as the sequences $(\alpha_n | n \ge m) \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ are multiplied componentwise. Then it follows that $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0$ is contained in the center of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$. Conversely, suppose $\alpha = (\alpha_n)$ lies in the center of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$. By Lemma 2.12, there exists a natural embedding $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_m \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ for any nonnegative integer m, and hence α commutes with any constant sequence coming from $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_m$. This means that each α_n commutes with $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$ and hence $\alpha_n \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$ for all n. This implies $\alpha \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0$. □

3. THE STRUCTURE OF THE ALGEBRA $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0$

In this section we give a linear basis of the commutative algebra $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0$.

3.1. **Bases of the center $\mathcal{Z}_{0,n}$ of $\mathbb{F}G_n$.** For $0 \le k \le n$, denote by $\mathcal{Z}_{0,n}^k$ the kth term of the filtration on $\mathcal{Z}_{0,n}$ inherited from the algebra $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$. It can be easily checked that

$$\mathcal{Z}_{0,n}^0 = \mathbb{F} \cdot 1.$$

For any partition-valued function $\rho = (\rho(C_1), \dots, \rho(C_r)) \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ with

$$\|\rho\| = \sum_{1 \le i \le r} |\rho(C_i)| \le n \text{ and } \rho(C_i) = (\mu_1^i, \dots, \mu_{b_i}^i)$$

for $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $1 \le i \le r$, we introduce the element $C_n^\rho \in \mathbb{F}G_n$ as

$$C_n^\rho = \sum_T C_{n,T}^\rho,$$

where the summation is over all subsets T of $\mathbb{N}_n$ with cardinality $\|\rho\|$ and $C_{n,T}^\rho$ is defined as follows:

$$C_{n,T}^\rho = \sum (g, (k_{1,1}^1, \dots, k_{1,\mu_1^1}^1) \cdots (k_{b_1,1}^1, \dots, k_{b_1,\mu_{b_1}^1}^1) \cdots (k_{1,1}^r, \dots, k_{1,\mu_1^r}^r) \cdots (k_{b_r,1}^r, \dots, l_{b_r,\mu_{b_r}^r}^r)) \tag{3.1}$$

where the sum is over the sequences $k_{1,1}^1, \dots, k_{1,\mu_1^1}^1; \dots; k_{b_1,1}^1, \dots, k_{b_1,\mu_{b_1}^1}^1; \dots; k_{1,1}^r, \dots, k_{1,\mu_1^r}^r; \dots; k_{b_r,1}^r, \dots, k_{b_r,\mu_{b_r}^r}^r$ of pairwise distinct indices taken from T, $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in G^n$ such that the cycle product corresponding to each cycle $(k_{s,1}^t, \dots, k_{s,\mu_s^t}^t)$ lies in C_t for $1 \le t \le r, 1 \le s \le b_t$ and $g_j = 1$ for all $j \notin T$. Here $(k_{s,1}^t, \dots, k_{s,\mu_s^t}^t)$ is understood as a cycle in the symmetric group S_n. For the empty partition-valued function $\emptyset$, we set $C_n^\emptyset = 1$. For $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ with $\|\rho\| > n$, we set $C_n^\rho = 0$.

Example 3.1. Suppose $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{1, -1\}$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ satisfying $\rho(1) = (1)$ and $\rho(-1) = (2)$. Then it can be checked that

$$\begin{aligned} C_3^\rho = {} & 2((1,1,-1),(1)(23)) + 2((1,-1,1),(1)(23)) + 2((1,1,-1),(2)(13)) \\ & + 2((-1,1,1),(1)(13)) + 2((1,-1,1),(3)(12)) + 2((-1,1,1),(3)(12)). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.2. In the case when $G = \{1\}$, $\mathcal{P}(G_*)$ coincides with the set of all partitions and the elements C_n^ρ coincides with $C_n^{\rho(C_1)}$ defined in [MO, Section 4, (4.3)].

Let $\rho_1^1 = ((1), \emptyset \dots, \emptyset) \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ be the partition-valued function with $\rho_1^1(C_1) = (1)$ and $\rho_1^1(C_k) = \emptyset$ for $2 \le k \le r$. Note that $C_n^{\rho_1^1} = n1$. Given two partition-valued functions $\rho = (\rho(C_1), \dots, \rho(C_r))$ and $\pi = (\pi(C_1), \dots, \pi(C_r))$, we set $\rho \cup \pi = (\rho(C_1) \cup \pi(C_1), \dots, \rho(C_r) \cup \pi(C_r))$, where $\rho(C_k) \cup \pi(C_k)$ is the partition whose parts are those of $\rho(C_k)$ and $\pi(C_k)$ in decreasing order for $1 \le k \le r$. Observe that for any $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ with $\|\rho\| \le n$, we have

$$C_n^{\rho \cup \rho_1^1} = (n - \|\rho\|) C_n^\rho. \tag{3.2}$$

By definition (2.13), each $\beta \in G_n$ appearing on the right hand side of the equation (3.1) has degree equal to $\sum_{1 \le j \le b_1, \mu_j^1 \ge 2} \mu_j^1 + \sum_{k \ge 2} |\rho(C_k)|$, and hence

$$\deg(C_n^\rho) = \sum_{1 \le j \le b_1, \mu_j^1 \ge 2} \mu_j^1 + \sum_{k \ge 2} |\rho(C_k)|.$$

Proposition 3.3. *The center $\mathcal{Z}_{0,n}$ of the algebra $\mathbb{F}G_n$ has two bases*

(1) $C_n^{\rho}, \quad \|\rho\| = n,$
(2) $C_n^{\rho}, \quad \|\rho\| \leq n,$ *and $\rho(C_1)$ has no part equal to 1 .*

Moreover, the elements C_n^{ρ} of degree less than or equal to k of each basis form a basis of $\mathcal{Z}_{0,n}^k$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$.

Proof. Note that C_n^{ρ} with $\|\rho\| = n$ is proportional to the conjugacy class sum corresponding to the type ρ. Hence the elements (1) form a basis of $\mathcal{Z}_{0,n}$. The second statement follows from the fact that the elements of type (2) are proportional to those of type (1) by (3.2). □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\rho = (\rho(C_1), \ldots, \rho(C_r))$ and $\pi = (\pi(C_1, \ldots, \pi(C_r)))$ be two partition-valued functions on G_* satisfying $\rho(C_1)$ and $\pi(C_1)$ have no part equal to 1, and let $\|\rho\| + \|\pi\| \leq n$. Then*

$$C_n^{\rho} C_n^{\pi} = C_n^{\rho \cup \pi} + (\ldots), \tag{3.3}$$

where $(\ldots)$ stands for a linear combination of the elements C_n^{ϱ} with $\|\varrho\| < \|\rho\| + \|\pi\|$.

Proof. By (3.1), we have

$$C_n^{\rho} C_n^{\pi} = \sum_{T \cap S = \emptyset} C_{n,T}^{\rho} C_{n,S}^{\pi} + \sum_{T \cap S \neq \emptyset} C_{n,T}^{\rho} C_{n,S}^{\pi}, \tag{3.4}$$

where the sum is over subsets T and S of $\mathbb{N}_n$ with cardinality $\|\rho\|$ and $\|\pi\|$, respectively. Note that the first sum on the right hand side of (3.4) equals to $C_n^{\rho \cup \pi}$. Let $\beta = (g, \sigma), \beta' = (g', \sigma') \in G_n$ be elements occurring in the expansion of $C_{n,T}^{\rho}$ and $C_{n,S}^{\pi}$, respectively. Since both $\rho(C_1)$ and $\pi(C_1)$ have no parts equal to 1, then $J(\beta) = T$ and $J(\beta') = S$ and hence $J(\beta\beta') \subseteq T \cup S$. Therefore each element $\beta'' \in G_n$ occurring in the expansion of $\sum_{T \cap S \neq \emptyset} C_{n,T}^{\rho} C_{n,S}^{\pi}$ is of degree less than $\|\rho\| + \|\pi\|$ and hence $\sum_{T \cap S \neq \emptyset} C_{n,T}^{\rho} C_{n,S}^{\pi}$ is a linear combination of the elements C_n^{ϱ} with $\|\varrho\| < \|\rho\| + \|\pi\|$. □

For each $1 \leq k \leq r$ and integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, set $\rho_i^k \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ as follows:

$$\rho_i^k = (\emptyset, \ldots, (i), \ldots, \emptyset),$$

with $\rho_i^k(C_k) = (i)$ and $\rho_i^k(C_l) = \emptyset$ for $l \neq k$. Observe that each $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ can be written as a union of these ρ_i^k. Then the following is obvious by Lemma 3.4.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $l = (l_2^1, \ldots, l_n^1, l_1^2, \ldots, l_n^2, \ldots, l_1^r, \ldots, l_n^r)$ run over the $(nr-1)$-tuples of nonnegative integers such that $\sum_{k=2}^r l_1^k + \sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{k=1}^r i l_i^k \leq n$. Then the monomials*

$$\Big((C_n^{\rho_2^1})^{l_2^1} \cdots (C_n^{\rho_n^1})^{l_n^1}\Big) \Big((C_n^{\rho_1^2})^{l_1^2} \cdots (C_n^{\rho_n^2})^{l_n^2}\Big) \cdots \Big((C_n^{\rho_1^r})^{l_1^r} \cdots (C_n^{\rho_n^r})^{l_n^r}\Big) \tag{3.5}$$

form a basis of $\mathcal{Z}_{0,n}$. Moreover, for any $0 \leq t \leq n$, the monomials (3.5) with $\sum_{k=2}^r l_1^k + \sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{k=1}^r i l_i^k \leq t$ form a basis of $\mathcal{Z}_{0,n}^t$.

3.2. **A basis of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0$.** For any subset $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}_n$, set

$$\varepsilon_T = \prod_{i \in T} \varepsilon_i, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\overline{\varepsilon}_T = \prod_{i \in T} (1 - \varepsilon_i). \tag{3.7}$$

For any $\rho = (\rho(C_1), \dots, \rho(C_r)) \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ with $\|\rho\| \le n$, set

$$\Delta_n^\rho = \sum_T C_{n,T}^\rho \overline{\varepsilon}_T, \tag{3.8}$$

where the summation is over all subsets $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}_n$ of cardinality $\|\rho\|$. For $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ with $\|\rho\| > n$, we set $\Delta_n^\rho = 0$. In particular,

$$\Delta_n^{\rho_1^1} = \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - \varepsilon_i). \tag{3.9}$$

By (2.4), we have $C_{n,T}^\rho \overline{\varepsilon}_T = \overline{\varepsilon}_T C_{n,T}^\rho$, and hence

$$\Delta_n^\rho = \sum_T \overline{\varepsilon}_T C_{n,T}^\rho = \sum_T \overline{\varepsilon}_T C_{n,T}^\rho \overline{\varepsilon}_T,$$

where the summation is over all subsets $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}_n$ of cardinality $\|\rho\|$.

Example 3.6. Retain the assumption in Example 3.1, we have

$$\Delta_3^\rho = C_3^\rho (1 - \varepsilon_1)(1 - \varepsilon_2)(1 - \varepsilon_3).$$

Lemma 3.7. *The element Δ_n^ρ lies in $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$ for any $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$.*

Proof. By (2.4) and the fact that any two elements of G_n occurring in C_n^ρ are conjugate to each other, it can be easily checked that Δ_n^ρ commutes with G_n. Since $\overline{G}_n$ is generated by the wreath product G_n and the pairwise commuting idempotents $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$, it suffices to show that Δ_n^ρ commutes with ε_i for each $1 \le i \le n$. Note that for ε_i and any subset $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}_n$ with cardinality $\|\rho\|$, if $i \in T$, then $\varepsilon_i \overline{\varepsilon}_T = 0 = \overline{\varepsilon}_T \varepsilon_i$ since $\varepsilon_i(1 - \varepsilon_i) = 0$; otherwise, $\varepsilon_i C_{n,T}^\rho = C_{n,T}^\rho \varepsilon_i$. Therefore $\varepsilon_i C_{n,T}^\rho \overline{\varepsilon}_T = C_{n,T}^\rho \overline{\varepsilon}_T \varepsilon_i$. This implies $\varepsilon_i \Delta_n^\rho = \Delta_n^\rho \varepsilon_i$ for each $1 \le i \le n$. □

Proposition 3.8. *For each n and $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$, we have*

$$\theta_n(\Delta_n^\rho) = \Delta_{n-1}^\rho. \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. Recall that $\theta_n(\Delta_n^\rho)$ can be identified with $\varepsilon_n \Delta_n^\rho \varepsilon_n$, which reduces to remove from (3.8) all summands which correspond to the subsets T containing n. If $\|\rho\| = n$, then all the summands vanish. Hence we have

$$\theta_n(\Delta_n^\rho) = \sum_{T \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{n-1}, |T| = \|\rho\|} C_{n,T}^\rho \overline{\varepsilon}_T = \Delta_{n-1}^\rho.$$

□

For fixed $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$, the degrees of elements Δ_n^ρ for all n equal to $\|\rho\|$, hence we have the following.

Corollary 3.9. *For any* $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$*, there exists an element* $\Delta^\rho \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0$ *such that*

$$\theta^n(\Delta^\rho) = \Delta_n^\rho$$

for any $n \geq 0$*.*

Let $I(n,G) = \mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n(1-\varepsilon_n)$ be the left ideal of $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$ generated by $1-\varepsilon_n$.

Lemma 3.10. *For any positive integer* n*, we have*

$$I(n,G) \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n} = \mathcal{Z}_{0,n}(1-\varepsilon_1)\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n).$$

Proof. Suppose $x \in I(n,G) \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$, then $x\varepsilon_n = 0$ as $(1-\varepsilon_n)\varepsilon_n = 0$. Since $x \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$, x is invariant under the conjugation by the elements of G_n and hence $x\varepsilon_k = 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ by (2.4). Thus $x = x(1-\varepsilon_1)\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)$. Note that we can write x as

$$x = y + y', \tag{3.11}$$

where y and y' are spanned by elements of G_n and $\overline{G}_n \setminus G_n$, respectively. Moreover y and y' are uniquely determined by x. Since for each $\gamma \in \overline{G}_n \setminus G_n$ there exists $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $\gamma\varepsilon_i = \gamma$, each element in $\overline{G}_n \setminus G_n$ is annihilated by $(1-\varepsilon_1)\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)$ and hence $y'(1-\varepsilon_1)\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n) = 0$. This implies

$$x = y(1-\varepsilon_1)\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n). \tag{3.12}$$

Now for any $\beta \in G_n$, $x = \beta x \beta^{-1} = \beta y \beta^{-1}(1-\varepsilon_1)\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)$ since $\beta(1-\varepsilon_1)\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n) = (1-\varepsilon_1)\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)\beta$ by (2.8) and (2.9). Hence we have

$$x = \beta y \beta^{-1} + \sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_n} (-1)^{|S|} \beta y \beta^{-1} \varepsilon_S.$$

Clearly $\sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_n} (-1)^{|S|} \beta y \beta^{-1} \varepsilon_S$ is a linear combination of elements of $\overline{G}_n \setminus G_n$ while $\beta y \beta^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}G_n$. Comparing the two expansions (3.11) and (3.12) of x we obtain $\beta y \beta^{-1} = y$ for each $\beta \in G_n$. This means $y \in \mathcal{Z}_{0,n}$, and hence $x \in \mathcal{Z}_{0,n}(1-\varepsilon_1)\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)$.

Conversely, suppose $x = y(1-\varepsilon_1)\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)$ for some $y \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$. It is clear that $x \in I(n,G)$. On the other hand, since $y \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$, x is invariant under the conjugation by the elements of G_n. Observe that x is both left and right annihilated by $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$. This implies x commutes with $\overline{G}_n$, and hence $x \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$. □

Recall that for any $\beta \in G_n$, $\bar{J}(\beta) = \{i | 1 \leq i \leq n, \beta_{ii} = 1\}$ and for any subset $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}_n$, $\varepsilon_T = \prod_{i \in T} \varepsilon_i$.

Lemma 3.11. *The mapping*

$$\beta \mapsto \beta \varepsilon_{\bar{J}(\beta)} \tag{3.13}$$

defines a bijection of G_n *onto the set of all elements of degree* n *in* $\overline{G}_n$ *satisfying that the* i*th row and* i*th column are zero or nonzero at the same time for any* $1 \leq i \leq n$*.*

Proof. Note that $\beta \varepsilon_{\bar{J}(\beta)}$ is the matrix obtained from β by replacing all the 1's on the diagonal by zeros. This implies that for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, the ith row and the ith column of $\beta \varepsilon_{\bar{J}(\beta)}$ are zero or nonzero at the same time and moreover $\deg(\beta \varepsilon_{\bar{J}(\beta)}) = n$.

Conversely, suppose $\gamma \in \overline{G}_n$ is of degree n satisfying that the ith row and ith column are zero or nonzero at the same time for each $1 \le i \le n$. Let $\beta \in G_n$ be defined as follows:

$$\beta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j \text{ and the } i\text{-th row is zero} \\ \gamma_{ij}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{3.14}$$

It is easy to see that γ is the image of β under the mapping (3.13). □

Lemma 3.12. *The restriction of the projection* $\theta_n : \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n-1}$ *to the subspace* $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}^{n-1}$ *is injective.*

Proof. Let $x \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$ and $\theta_n(x) = 0$. It suffices to show that $\deg(x) = n$ unless $x = 0$. Note that $\theta_n(x) = 0$ implies that $x\varepsilon_n = 0$, and hence $x = x(1 - \varepsilon_n) \in I(n, G)$, which means $x \in I(n, G) \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$. By Lemma 3.10, x can be written as a linear combination of elements of the form $\beta(1 - \varepsilon_1) \cdots (1 - \varepsilon_n)$ for some $\beta \in G_n$. Let us rewrite as

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(1-\varepsilon_1)\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n) &= \sum_{Q \subseteq \mathbb{N}_n} (-1)^{|Q|} \beta \varepsilon_Q \\ &= \sum_{Q \supseteq \bar{J}(\beta)} (-1)^{|Q|} \beta \varepsilon_Q + \sum_{Q \not\supseteq \bar{J}(\beta)} (-1)^{|Q|} \beta \varepsilon_Q. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Note that all elements appearing in the first sum in (3.15) are of degree n while those appearing in the second sum are of degree strictly less than n. Now it reduces to show that the elements $\Phi(\beta) := \sum_{Q \supseteq \bar{J}(\beta)} (-1)^{|Q|} \beta \varepsilon_Q$ are linearly independent. Suppose $\sum_{\beta \in G_n} d_\beta \Phi(\beta) = 0$ for some $d_\beta \in \mathbb{F}$. We shall show that $d_\beta = 0$ for all $\beta \in G_n$. Recall from (2.14) that for each $\gamma \in \overline{G}_n$, $\operatorname{rank}(\gamma) = \{l \mid 1 \le l \le n, \gamma_{kl} \neq 0 \text{ for some } 1 \le k \le n\}$, that is, the number of nonzero columns in γ. Then we have $\operatorname{rank}(\beta\varepsilon_Q) = n - |Q|$, and hence

$$\operatorname{rank}(\beta\varepsilon_Q) = n - |\bar{J}(\beta)|, \quad \text{if } Q = \bar{J}(\beta) \tag{3.16}$$

$$\operatorname{rank}(\beta\varepsilon_Q) < n - |\bar{J}(\beta)|, \quad \text{if } Q \supset \bar{J}(\beta). \tag{3.17}$$

This implies that the rank of the elements appearing in $\Phi(\beta)$ is no bigger than $n - |\bar{J}(\beta)|$ For each $0 \le k \le n$, set

$$\Psi_k = \{\beta \in G_n \mid n - |\bar{J}(\beta)| = k\}.$$

Then G_n is the disjoint union as:

$$G_n = \cup_{k=0}^n \Psi_k.$$

Hence we can rewrite $\sum_{\beta \in G_n} d_\beta \Phi(\beta) = 0$ as

$$\sum_{\beta \in \Psi_0} d_\beta \Phi(\beta) + \cdots + \sum_{\beta \in \Psi_{n-1}} d_\beta \Phi(\beta) + \sum_{\beta \in \Psi_n} d_\beta \Phi(\beta) = 0. \tag{3.18}$$

Note that the elements of rank n in (3.18) are of the form $\beta\varepsilon_{\bar{J}(\beta)}$ with $\beta \in \Psi_n$ by (3.16) and (3.17) and hence

$$\sum_{\beta \in \Psi_n} d_\beta \beta \varepsilon_{\bar{J}(\beta)} = 0.$$

But Lemma 3.11 says that $\beta\varepsilon_{\bar{J}(\beta)}$ with $\beta \in G_n$ are pairwise distinct elements in $\overline{G}_n$, and hence

$$d_\beta = 0 \text{ for all } \beta \in \Psi_n.$$

Then (3.18) becomes to $\sum_{\beta\in\Psi_0} d_\beta\Phi(\beta) + \cdots + \sum_{\beta\in\Psi_{n-1}} d_\beta\Phi(\beta) = 0$. Apply the same argument to the elements of rank $n-1$ and we get $d_\beta = 0$ for all $\beta \in \Psi_{n-1}$. Continue this way and finally we get $d_\beta = 0$ for any $\beta \in G_n$. □

Proposition 3.13. *For any positive integer n, the elements Δ_n^ρ, where $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ with $\|\rho\| \le n$, form a basis of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$. Furthermore, for $0 \le k \le n$, the elements Δ_n^ρ with $\|\rho\| \le k$ form a basis of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}^k$.*

Proof. It suffices to show the second claim of the proposition. We shall prove this using the induction on n. In the case $n = 1$, note that $\overline{G}_n$ is generated by G and ε_1 subject to the relations $h\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1 h = \varepsilon_1$ and $\varepsilon_1^2 = \varepsilon_1$ for any $h \in G$. Hence it can be easily checked that the center $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,1}$ of $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_1$ is the subalgebra generated by the center of $\mathbb{F}G$ and ε_1, and then the proposition follows.

Assume that $n \ge 2$ and $k \le n-1$. By the induction hypothesis, the elements Δ_{n-1}^ρ with $\|\rho\| \le k$ form a basis of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n-1}^k$. Proposition 3.8 says that the image of Δ_n^ρ is Δ_{n-1}^ρ for all $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ with $\|\rho\| < n$. But Lemma 3.12 implies that the restriction of θ_n to $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}^k$ is injective. Therefore Δ_n^ρ with $\|\rho\| \le k$ form a basis of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}^k$.

Next, we shall first show that

$$\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n} = \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}^{n-1} \oplus (I(n,G) \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}) \tag{3.19}$$

and then the proposition will follow from the claim that the elements Δ_n^ρ with $\|\rho\| = n$ form a basis of $I(n,G) \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$.

Consider the restriction $\theta_n \downarrow_{\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}}$ of θ_n to $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$. It follows from the proof of Lemma 3.10 that the kernel of $\theta_n \downarrow_{\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}}$ is $I(n,G) \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$ and the image is contained in $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n-1}$. But clearly θ_n maps $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}^{n-1}$ onto $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n-1}^{n-1} = \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n-1}$ as shown above, and hence we obtain

$$\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n} = \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}^{n-1} + (I(n,G) \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n})$$

But Lemma 3.12 implies that $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}^{n-1} \cap (I(n,G) \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}) = \{0\}$, and then (3.19) follows.

Finally, let us show that the elements Δ_n^ρ with $\|\rho\| = n$ form a basis of $I(n,G) \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$. Note that for each $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ with $\|\rho\| = n$

$$\Delta_n^\rho = C_n^\rho(1-\varepsilon_1)\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n).$$

Proposition 3.3 says that C_n^ρ with $\|\rho\| = n$ form a basis of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$, and hence $I(n,G) \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$ is spanned by Δ_n^ρ with $\|\rho\| = n$ by Lemma 3.10. It remains to show that these elements are linearly independent. Now suppose $\sum_{\rho,\|\rho\|=n} d_\rho \Delta_n^\rho = 0$ for some $d_\rho \in \mathbb{F}$. Note that the difference $\Delta_n^\rho - C_n^\rho$ is spanned by elements from $\overline{G}_n \setminus G_n$ while $C_n^\rho \in \mathbb{F}G_n$. This implies $\sum_{\rho,\|\rho\|=n} d_\rho C_n^\rho = 0$, and hence $d_\rho = 0$ for all $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ with $\|\rho\| = n$. □

Recall from Corollary 3.9 the definition of elements Δ^ρ for each $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$. The next theorem follows from Proposition 3.13.

Theorem 3.14. *The elements $\Delta^\rho, \rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ form a basis of the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0$. Moreover, for any $k \geq 0$, the elements Δ^ρ with $\|\rho\| \leq k$ form a basis of the kth subspace $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0^k$.*

Lemma 3.15. *For any partition-valued functions $\rho, \pi \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ with $\|\rho\| + \|\pi\| \leq n$, the following holds in $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$:*

$$\Delta_n^\rho \Delta_n^\pi = \Delta_n^{\rho \cup \pi} + (\ldots),$$

where $(\ldots)$ is a linear combination of elements Δ_n^ϱ with $\|\varrho\| < \|\rho\| + \|\pi\|$.

Proof. By (3.8), we have

$$\Delta_n^\rho \Delta_n^\pi = \sum_{T \cap S = \emptyset} C_{n,T}^\rho \overline{\varepsilon}_T C_{n,S}^\pi \overline{\varepsilon}_S + \sum_{T \cap S \neq \emptyset} C_{n,T}^\rho \overline{\varepsilon}_T C_{n,S}^\pi \overline{\varepsilon}_S, \tag{3.20}$$

where T and S are subsets of $\mathbb{N}_n$ of order $\|\rho\|$ and $\|\pi\|$, respectively. Note that the first sum on the right hand side of (3.20) is $\Delta_n^{\rho \cup \pi}$ whereas the second sum is of degree strictly less than $\|\rho\| + \|\pi\|$. □

Clearly Theorem 3.14 and Lemma 3.15 give rise to the following.

Corollary 3.16. *Let $l = (l_1^1, \ldots, l_n^1, l_1^2, \ldots, l_n^2, \ldots, l_1^r, \ldots, l_n^r)$ run over the nr-tuples of nonnegative integers such that $\sum_{k=1}^r l_1^k + \sum_{k=1}^r 2l_2^k + \cdots + \sum_{k=1}^r nl_n^k \leq n$. Then the monomials*

$$\Big((\Delta_n^{\rho_1^1})^{l_1^1} (\Delta_n^{\rho_1^2})^{l_1^2} \cdots (\Delta_n^{\rho_1^r})^{l_1^r}\Big) \cdots \Big((\Delta_n^{\rho_n^1})^{l_n^1} (\Delta_n^{\rho_n^2})^{l_n^2} \cdots (\Delta_n^{\rho_n^r})^{l_n^r}\Big) \tag{3.21}$$

form a basis of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}$. Moreover, for any $0 \leq t \leq n$, the monomials (3.21) with $\sum_{k=1}^r l_1^k + \sum_{k=1}^r 2l_2^k + \cdots + \sum_{k=1}^r nl_n^k \leq t$ form a basis of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{0,n}^t$.

Corollary 3.17. *The monomials*

$$\Big((\Delta^{\rho_1^1})^{l_1^1} (\Delta^{\rho_1^2})^{l_1^2} \cdots (\Delta^{\rho_1^r})^{l_1^r}\Big) \Big((\Delta^{\rho_2^1})^{l_2^1} (\Delta^{\rho_2^2})^{l_2^2} \cdots (\Delta^{\rho_2^r})^{l_2^r}\Big) \cdots \tag{3.22}$$

for $l_i^k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $\sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{k=1}^r l_i^k < \infty$ form a basis of the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0$. Moreover for each $t \geq 0$, the monomials (3.22) with $\sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{k=1}^r l_i^k \leq t$ form a basis of the subspace $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0^t$.

4. The structure of the algebras $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m, m > 0$

In this section we shall study the algebraic structure of the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ for $m > 0$. Let us first introduce a subalgebra of $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$ which plays the similar role as the center $\mathcal{Z}_{0,n}$ of $\mathbb{F}G_n$ in the case $m = 0$. Set

$$\overline{G}_{m,n} = \{\gamma \in \overline{G}_n | \text{ for } m+1 \leq i \leq n, \text{ there exist } 1 \leq j, k \leq n \text{ such that } \gamma_{ij} \neq 0, \gamma_{ki} \neq 0\} \tag{4.1}$$

That is, $\overline{G}_{m,n}$ consists of all elements whose ith row and ith column are nonzero for each $m + 1 \leq i \leq n$. Denote by $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_{m,n}$ the subspace of $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$ spanned by $\overline{G}_{m,n}$. Recall that G'_{n-m} is the subgroup of G_n consisting of matrices whose first m diagonal elements are 1. Set $\mathcal{Z}_{m,n}^*$ to be the subspace of $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_{m,n}$ formed by the elements invariant under the conjugation by the elements of the group G'_{n-m}, that is, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_{m,n}^* = (\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_{m,n})^{G'_{n-m}}.$$

4.1. **Bases of $\mathcal{Z}^*_{m,n}$.** Throughout the section we assume $0 < m \le n$. Let us begin with introducing another filtration on the algebra $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$. For $\gamma \in \overline{G}_n$, set

$$\begin{aligned} J_m(\gamma) &= \{k|\ m+1 \le k \le n, \gamma_{kk} \ne 1\}, \\ \bar{J}_m(\gamma) &= \{k|\ m+1 \le k \le n, \gamma_{kk} = 1\} = \{m+1, \cdots, n\} \setminus J_m(\gamma), \quad (4.2) \\ \deg_m(\gamma) &= |J_m(\gamma)|, \quad (4.3) \end{aligned}$$

We will call $\deg_m(\gamma)$ the m-degree of γ.

Lemma 4.1. *For $\gamma, \gamma' \in \overline{G}_n$, we have*

$$deg_m(\gamma\gamma') \le deg_m(\gamma) + deg_m(\gamma'). \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. For any $m+1 \le k \le n$, if $k \in \bar{J}_m(\gamma) \cap \bar{J}_m(\gamma')$ then $k \in \bar{J}_m(\gamma\gamma')$. Hence $J_m(\gamma\gamma') \subseteq J_m(\gamma) \cup J_m(\gamma')$ and (4.4) follows. □

For each $0 \le k \le n-m$, set $\Gamma^k_m(\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n)$ to be the subspace of $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$ spanned by the elements $\gamma \in \overline{G}_n$ of m-degree less than or equal to k. Then we get a new filtration of $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$:

$$\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_m = \Gamma^0_m(\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n) \subseteq \Gamma^1_m(\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \Gamma^{n-m}_m(\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n) = \mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n \quad (4.5)$$

For any subspace S of $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$ we will use the symbol $\Gamma^k_m(S)$ to indicate the kth term of the induced filtration.

Next we shall classify the G'_{n-m}-orbits by conjugation in $\overline{G}_{m,n}$ in order to get bases of $\mathcal{Z}^*_{m,n}$. Note that the additive semigroup $\mathbb{Z}_+$ is isomorphic to the free abelian semigroup $\{1, z, z^2, \ldots\}$ with unity 1 and one generator z. Consider the semigroup product $G \times \mathbb{Z}_+ = \{(g, z^k)|\ g \in G, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ and set $\mathrm{ord}(g, z^k) = k$ for $(g, z^k) \in G \times \mathbb{Z}_+$. Clearly G can be regarded as a subgroup of $G \times \mathbb{Z}_+$. Denote by $\Gamma(n, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+)$ (resp.$S(n, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+)$) the set consisting of the $n \times n$ matrices $\Omega = (\Omega_{ij})_{n\times n}$ with entries in $(G \times \mathbb{Z}_+) \cup \{0\}$ such that any row and column contain at most (resp. exactly) one nonzero entry. For any $\Omega \in \Gamma(n, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+)$, set

$$\mathrm{ord}(\Omega) = \sum_{i,j;\Omega_{ij}\ne 0} \mathrm{ord}(\Omega_{ij}).$$

Proposition 4.2. *There is a natural parametrization of the G'_{n-m}-orbits in $\overline{G}_{m,n}$ by the pair (Ω, ρ) with $\Omega \in \Gamma(m, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+)$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ satisfying ord$(\Omega) + ||\rho|| = n - m$.*

Proof. We shall first define a map

$$\mathcal{F} : \overline{G}_{m,n} \to \Gamma(m, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+) \times \mathcal{P}(G_*), \qquad \gamma \mapsto (\Omega(\gamma), \rho(\gamma)).$$

For $\gamma \in \overline{G}_{m,n}$, the $m \times m$-matrix $\Omega(\gamma)$ is given as follows. Fix $1 \le j \le m$, then for each $1 \le i \le m$ set

$$\Omega(\gamma)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \gamma_{ij}, \quad \text{if } \gamma_{ij} \ne 0, \\ (\gamma_{ip_k}\gamma_{p_kp_{k-1}} \ldots \gamma_{p_1j}, z^k), \quad \text{if there exist } m+1 \le p_1, \ldots, p_k \le n \\ \ \text{such that } \gamma_{p_1j} \ne 0, \gamma_{p_2p_1} \ne 0, \ldots, \gamma_{p_kp_{k-1}} \ne 0, \gamma_{ip_k} \ne 0, \\ 0, \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that to any j with $\gamma_{pj} \ne 0$ for some $p \in \{m+1, \ldots, n\}$, we can assign a subset $\{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k\}$ of $\{m+1, \ldots, n\}$ as described above. It is clear that these subsets are pairwise disjoint. Let $P(\gamma)$ denote their union, then $\mathrm{ord}(\Omega(\gamma)) = |P(\gamma)|$. Further, let $P^*(\gamma)$ be the complement of $P(\gamma)$ in $\{m+1, \dots, n\}$. Suppose $P^*(\gamma) = \{i_1, i_2 \dots, i_l\}$ with $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l$. Define $\sigma(\gamma) = (\sigma_{st})_{l\times l}$ as $\sigma_{st} = \gamma_{i_s i_t}$ for $1 \le s, t \le l$. Then $\sigma \in G_l$ since the ith row and ith column of γ are nonzero for $m+1 \le i \le n$. Define $\rho = \rho(\gamma)$ to be the type of σ in G_l.

It can be verified that if γ and γ' belong to the same G'_{n-m}-orbit in $\overline{G}_{m,n}$ then $(\Omega(\gamma), \rho(\gamma)) = (\Omega(\gamma'), \rho(\gamma'))$. Indeed, suppose $\gamma' = \beta\gamma\beta^{-1}$ for some $\beta \in G'_{n-m}$. Clearly for $1 \le i, j \le m$, $\gamma_{ij} = (\beta\gamma\beta^{-1})_{ij}$. Hence it suffices to show that $\Omega(\gamma)_{ij} = \Omega(\beta\gamma\beta^{-1})_{ij}$ in the case when there exist $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k \in \{m+1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\gamma_{p_1 j} \ne 0, \gamma_{p_2 p_1} \ne 0, \dots, \gamma_{p_k p_{k-1}} \ne 0, \gamma_{i p_k} \ne 0$. Since $\beta \in G'_{n-m}$, there exist $m+1 \le q_l \le n$ such that $\beta_{q_l p_l} \ne 0$ for $1 \le l \le k$. Then it can be easily checked that

$$\begin{aligned}(\beta\gamma\beta^{-1})_{q_1 j} &= \beta_{q_1 p_1}\gamma_{p_1 j}\beta_{jj} = \beta_{q_1 p_1}\gamma_{p_1 j},\\ (\beta\gamma\beta^{-1})_{q_l q_{l-1}} &= \beta_{q_l p_l}\gamma_{p_l p_{l-1}}\beta^{-1}_{q_{l-1} p_{l-1}}, \quad 2 \le l \le k,\\ (\beta\gamma\beta^{-1})_{i q_k} &= \beta_{ii}\gamma_{i p_k}\beta^{-1}_{q_k p_k} = \gamma_{i p_k}\beta^{-1}_{q_k p_k}.\end{aligned}$$

This means $(\Omega(\beta\gamma\beta^{-1}))_{ij} = (\gamma_{i p_k}\gamma_{p_k p_{k-1}} \cdots \gamma_{p_1 j}, z^k) = \Omega(\gamma)_{ij}$. On the other hand, the above description of conjugation $\beta\gamma\beta^{-1}$ of $\gamma \in \overline{G}_{m,n}$ by $\beta \in G'_{n-m}$ implies that two elements corresponding to the same Ω and ρ will be in the same orbit. □

Remark 4.3. The pair (Ω, ρ) in Proposition 4.2 corresponds to an element of $G_n \subseteq \overline{G}_{m,n}$ if and only if $\Omega \in S(m, G\times\mathbb{Z}_+)$. Hence by the same argument of the proof of Proposition 4.2, we conclude that there is a natural parametrization of the G'_{n-m}-orbits in G_n by the pair (Ω, ρ) with $\Omega \in S(m, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+)$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ satisfying $\mathrm{ord}(\Omega) + ||\rho|| = n - m$.

We shall now define analogs of the elements C_n^ρ in $\mathcal{Z}^*_{m,n}$. Firstly, for any $\Omega \in \Gamma(m, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+)$ and any subset $P \subseteq \{m+1, \dots, n\}$ with $\mathrm{ord}(\Omega) = |P|$, we set

$$\Gamma(\Omega, P) = \{\gamma \in \overline{G}_{m,n} |\ \Omega(\gamma) = \Omega, P(\gamma) = P, \rho(\gamma) = \underbrace{\rho_1^1 \cup \dots \cup \rho_1^1}_{n-m-|P|}\}.$$

Then for any pair (Ω, ρ) with $\Omega \in \Gamma(m, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+)$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ such that $\mathrm{ord}(\Omega) + \|\rho\| \le n - m$, we set

$$C_n^{\Omega,\rho} = \sum_{P,T} \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma(\Omega,P)} \gamma C^\rho_{n,T}, \tag{4.6}$$

where P, T are disjoint subsets in $\{m+1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$|P| = \mathrm{ord}(\Omega), \qquad |T| = \|\rho\|.$$

Lemma 4.4. *The centralizer $\mathcal{Z}^*_{m,n}$ of G'_{n-m} in $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_{m,n}$ has two bases:*

1. $C_n^{\Omega,\rho}$, *where $\Omega \in \Gamma(m, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+), \rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ and ord$(\Omega) + \|\rho\| = n - m$;*
2. $C_n^{\Omega,\rho}$, *where $\Omega \in \Gamma(m, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+), \rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$, ord$(\Omega) + \|\rho\| \le n - m$ and $\rho(C_1)$ has no part equal to* 1.

Proof. Clearly the elements $C_n^{\Omega,\rho}$ with $\Omega \in \Gamma(m, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+), \rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ and $\mathrm{ord}(\Omega) + \|\rho\| = n - m$ are proportional to the characteristic functions of the G'_{n-m}-orbit in $\overline{G}_{m,n}$ corresponding to the pairs (Ω, ρ) by Proposition 4.2. Hence these elements form a basis of

$\mathcal{Z}^*_{m,n}$. Note that $C_n^{\Omega,\rho\cup\rho_1^1}$ is proportional to $C_n^{\Omega,\rho}$, therefore the second type of elements also forms a basis of $\mathcal{Z}^*_{m,n}$. □

4.2. **The basis of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$.** We now introduce analogs of the elements Δ_n^ρ in $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$. For any pair (Ω,ρ) with $\Omega\in\Gamma(m,G\times\mathbb{Z}_+)$ and $\rho\in\mathcal{P}(G_*)$ such that $\mathrm{ord}(\Omega)+\|\rho\|\le n-m$, we set

$$\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho}=\sum_{P,T}\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma(\Omega,P)}\overline{\varepsilon}_P\gamma C^\rho_{n,T}\overline{\varepsilon}_T\overline{\varepsilon}_P, \tag{4.7}$$

where P,T are disjoint subsets in $\{m+1,\dots,n\}$ such that

$$|P|=\mathrm{ord}(\Omega),\qquad |T|=\|\rho\|.$$

Remark 4.5. Note that G can be identified with the subgroup $\{(g,1)|g\in G\}\subset G\times\mathbb{Z}_+$, and hence $\overline{G}_m\subseteq\Gamma(m,G\times\mathbb{Z}_+)$. Then we have:

1. If $\Omega\in\overline{G}_m\subseteq\Gamma(m,G\times\mathbb{Z}_+)$, then $\mathrm{ord}(\Omega)=0$ and hence it can be checked that $\Delta_n^{\Omega,\emptyset}=\Omega$.
2. Let $\mathbb{I}\in\Gamma(m,G\times\mathbb{Z}_+)$ be the $m\times m$ identity matrix. Then

$$\Delta_n^{\mathbb{I},\rho}=\sum_{T\subseteq\{m+1,\dots,n\},|T|=\|\rho\|}C^\rho_{n,T}\overline{\varepsilon}_T.$$

Lemma 4.6. *For any pair (Ω,ρ) with $\Omega\in\Gamma(m,G\times\mathbb{Z}_+)$ and $\rho\in\mathcal{P}(G_*)$ such that ord$(\Omega)+\|\rho\|\le n-m$, the element $\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho}$ lies in the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$. Moreover, $\theta_n(\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho})=\Delta_{n-1}^{\Omega,\rho}$, where we adopt the the convention that $\Delta_k^{\Omega,\rho}=0$ if ord$(\Omega)+\|\rho\|>k-m$.*

Proof. Note that $\overline{G}'_{n-m}$ is generated by the subgroup G'_{n-m} and $\varepsilon_{m+1},\dots,\varepsilon_n$. It is easy to check that $\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho}$ commutes with G'_{n-m} by Proposition 4.2. Moreover for each $m+1\le k\le n$, if k lies in P or T, then $\varepsilon_k\overline{\varepsilon}_P\gamma C^\rho_{n,T}\overline{\varepsilon}_T\overline{\varepsilon}_P=0=\overline{\varepsilon}_P\gamma C^\rho_{n,T}\overline{\varepsilon}_T\overline{\varepsilon}_P\varepsilon_k$; otherwise, ε_k commutes with γ and $C^\rho_{n,T}$. Therefore $\varepsilon_k\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho}=\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho}\varepsilon_k$ for each $m+1\le k\le n$. This implies $\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho}\in\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$. By applying the similar argument of the proof of Proposition 3.8, we have

$$\theta_n(\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho})=\sum_{P,T}\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma(\Omega,P)}\overline{\varepsilon}_P\gamma C^\rho_{n,T}\overline{\varepsilon}_T\overline{\varepsilon}_P,$$

where P,T are disjoint subsets in $\{m+1,\dots,n-1\}$ such that $|P|=\mathrm{ord}(\Omega),|T|=\|\rho\|$. Hence $\theta_n(\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho})=\Delta_{n-1}^{\Omega,\rho}$. □

Fix $m\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, then for any $n\ge m$ and $\Omega\in\Gamma(m,G\times\mathbb{Z}_+),\rho\in\mathcal{P}(G_*)$ with $\mathrm{ord}(\Omega)+\|\rho\|\le n-m$, we have

$$\deg(\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho})\le\mathrm{ord}\ \Omega+\|\rho\|+m.$$

Hence by Lemma 4.6 there exist elements $\Delta^{\Omega,\rho}\in\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ as sequences

$$\Delta^{\Omega,\rho}:=(\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho}|n\ge m).$$

Lemma 4.7. *Recall that $I(n,G)=\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n(1-\varepsilon_n)$ is the left ideal of $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$ generated by $1-\varepsilon_n$. Then we have for $0<m\le n$,*

$$I(n,G)\cap\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}=(1-\varepsilon_{m+1})\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)\mathcal{Z}^*_{m,n}(1-\varepsilon_{m+1})\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n).$$

Proof. Suppose $x \in I(n,G) \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$. Since $\varepsilon_n \in \overline{G}'_{n-m}$ and $(1-\varepsilon_n)\varepsilon_n = 0$, we have $\varepsilon_n x = x\varepsilon_n = 0$. By (2.4) and the fact that x is invariant under the conjugation by the elements of G'_{n-m}, we have $\varepsilon_k x = x\varepsilon_k = 0$ for $m+1 \leq k \leq n$. Thus $x = (1-\varepsilon_{m+1})\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)x = x(1-\varepsilon_{m+1})\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)$. Note that we can write $x = y + y'$, where y and y' are spanned by elements of $\overline{G}_{m,n}$ and $\overline{G}_n \setminus \overline{G}_{m,n}$, respectively. Moreover y and y' are uniquely determined by x. However, $(1-\varepsilon_{m+1})\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)y'(1-\varepsilon_{m+1})\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n) = 0$ due to the fact that for each $\gamma \in \overline{G}_n \setminus \overline{G}_{m,n}$ there exists $k \in \{m+1,\ldots,n\}$ such that $\gamma\varepsilon_k = \gamma$ or $\varepsilon_k\gamma = \gamma$. Hence, we have $x = (1-\varepsilon_{m+1})\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)y(1-\varepsilon_{m+1})\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)$. Now for any $\beta \in G'_{n-m}$, $x = \beta x\beta^{-1} = (1-\varepsilon_{m+1})\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)\beta y\beta^{-1}(1-\varepsilon_{m+1})\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)$ since $\beta(1-\varepsilon_{m+1})\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n) = (1-\varepsilon_{m+1})\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)\beta$. Then we can write x as

$$x = \beta y\beta^{-1} + \sum_{I,J\subseteq\{m+1,\ldots,n\}, I\cup J\neq\emptyset} (-1)^{|I|+|J|}\varepsilon_I \beta y\beta^{-1}\varepsilon_J.$$

Clearly the first term belongs to $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_{m,n}$ while the second term is spanned by the elements from $\overline{G}_n \setminus \overline{G}_{m,n}$. Hence $y = \beta y\beta^{-1}$ for all $\beta \in G'_{n-m}$, which implies $y \in \mathcal{Z}^*_{m,n}$.

Conversely, suppose $x = (1-\varepsilon_{m+1})\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)y(1-\varepsilon_{m+1})\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)$ for some $y \in \mathcal{Z}^*_{m,n}$. Clearly, we have $x \in I(n,G)$. On the other hand, since $y \in \mathcal{Z}^*_{m,n}$, x is invariant under the conjugation by the elements of G'_{n-m}. Observe that x is both left and right annihilated by $\varepsilon_{m+1},\ldots,\varepsilon_n$. This implies that x commutes with $\overline{G}'_{n-m}$, and hence $x \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$. □

Recall from (4.2) that for $\gamma \in \overline{G}_n$ and $1 \leq m \leq n$, $\bar{J}_m(\gamma) = \{i \mid m+1 \leq i \leq n, \gamma_{ii} = 1\}$.

Lemma 4.8. *The mapping*

$$\beta \mapsto \beta\varepsilon_{\bar{J}_m(\beta)}$$

defines a bijection of $\overline{G}_{m,n}$ onto the set of all $\gamma \in \overline{G}_n$ satisfying the conditions:

$$\{j \mid m+1 \leq j \leq n, \exists\, i \in \mathbb{N}_n \text{ with } \gamma_{ij} \neq 0\} = \{k \mid m+1 \leq k \leq n, \exists\, i \in \mathbb{N}_n \text{ with } \gamma_{ki} \neq 0\}, \tag{4.8}$$

$$deg_m(\gamma) = n - m. \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. Clearly for each $\beta \in \overline{G}_{m,n}$, $\beta\varepsilon_{\bar{J}_m(\beta)}$ satisfy (4.8) and (4.9). Conversely, suppose $\gamma \in \overline{G}_n$ satisfy (4.8) and (4.9). Then for each $m+1 \leq i \leq n$, the ith row and column of γ are zero or nonzero at the same time, and moreover all the diagonal entries γ_{ii} are not equal to 1. Define $\beta \in \overline{G}_{m,n}$ as follows:

$$\beta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m+1 \leq i = j \leq n \text{ and the } i\text{th row of } \gamma \text{ is zero,} \\ \gamma_{ij}, & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to see that β is mapped to γ. □

Lemma 4.9. *For $0 < m < n$, the restriction of the projection $\theta_n : \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n-1}$ to the subspace $\Gamma_m^{n-m-1}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n})$ is injective.*

Proof. Suppose $x \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$ and $\theta_n(x) = 0$. We shall show that $\deg_m(x) = n - m$ unless $x = 0$. Since $x \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$, we have $x\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon_n x$. Moreover $\theta_n(x) = 0$ implies $\varepsilon_n x\varepsilon_n = 0$, and hence $x = x - x\varepsilon_n = x(1-\varepsilon_n) \in I(n,G) \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$. By Lemma 4.7, we can write x as a linear combination of the elements of the type $(1-\varepsilon_{m+1})\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)\beta(1-\varepsilon_{m+1})\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)$ for $\beta\in\overline{G}_{m,n}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}(1-&\varepsilon_{m+1})\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)\beta(1-\varepsilon_{m+1})\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)\\ &=\sum_{R\cup S\supseteq\bar{J}_m(\beta)}(-1)^{|R|+|S|}\varepsilon_R\beta\varepsilon_S+\sum_{R\cup S\not\supseteq\bar{J}_m(\beta)}(-1)^{|R|+|S|}\varepsilon_R\beta\varepsilon_S,\end{aligned}\tag{4.10}$$

where the summation is over the subsets R,S of $\{m+1,\ldots,n\}$. Moreover any term in the first sum of (4.10) is of m-degree $n-m$ while those in the second one are of m-degree less than $n-m$. Hence it suffices to show that the elements

$$\sum_{R\cup S\supseteq\bar{J}_m(\beta)}(-1)^{|R|+|S|}\varepsilon_R\beta\varepsilon_S,\beta\in\overline{G}_{m,n}$$

are linearly independent. Note that if $R\cup S=\bar{J}_m(\beta)$, then $\varepsilon_R\beta\varepsilon_S=\beta\varepsilon_{\bar{J}_m(\beta)}$ and $\mathrm{rank}(\beta\varepsilon_{\bar{J}_m(\beta)})=\mathrm{rank}(\beta)-|\bar{J}_m(\beta)|$; otherwise if $R\cup S\supset\bar{J}_m(\beta)$, then $\mathrm{rank}(\varepsilon_R\beta\varepsilon_S)<\mathrm{rank}(\beta)-|\bar{J}_m(\beta)|$. Hence by the similar argument to the proof of Lemma 3.12, it suffices to show that for any fixed k the elements

$$\sum_{R\cup S=\bar{J}_m(\beta)}(-1)^{|R|+|S|}\varepsilon_R\beta\varepsilon_S=\sum_{R\cup S=\bar{J}_m(\beta)}(-1)^{|R|+|S|}\beta\varepsilon_{\bar{J}_m(\beta)},$$

where $\beta\in\overline{G}_{m,n}$ and $\mathrm{rank}(\beta)-|\bar{J}_m(\beta)|=k$, are linearly independent. Lemma 4.8 implies that the elements $\beta\varepsilon_{\bar{J}_m(\beta)}$ are pairwise distinct. Hence it remains to prove that all the coefficients $\sum_{R\cup S=\bar{J}_m(\beta)}(-1)^{|R|+|S|}$ are nonvanishing, which we refer to the proof of [MO, Lemma 5.13]. □

Proposition 4.10. *The elements* $\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho}$ *with* $\Omega\in\Gamma(m,G\times\mathbb{Z}_+),\rho\in\mathcal{P}(G_*)$ *and* $ord(\Omega)+\|\rho\|\le n-m$ *form a basis of* $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$. *Moreover, for any* k *with* $0\le k\le n-m$ *the elements* $\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho}$ *satisfying* $ord(\Omega)+\|\rho\|\le k$ *form a basis of* $\Gamma_m^k(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n})$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the second claim and for each fixed m, we shall use the induction on n. Note that in the case $n=m$, $\mathrm{ord}(\Omega)+\|\rho\|\le n-m$ implies $\Omega\in\overline{G}_m$ and $\rho=\emptyset$, and hence $\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho}=\Omega$ by Remark 4.5. So the proposition holds in the case $n=m$. Using Lemma 4.9 and the argument of proof of Proposition 3.13, we obtain that for each $0\le k\le n-m-1$, the elements $\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho}$ with $\mathrm{ord}(\Omega)+\|\rho\|\le k$ form a basis of $\Gamma_m^k(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n})$. Furthermore following the argument of proof of Proposition 3.13, we can show that

$$\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}=\Gamma_m^{n-m-1}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n})\oplus(I(n,G)\cap\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}).$$

Then it remains to show that the elements $\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho}$ with $\mathrm{ord}(\Omega)+\|\rho\|=n-m$ form a basis of $I(n,G)\cap\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$. By Lemma 4.7, it is enough to show that these elements are linearly independent. Since $\mathrm{ord}\,\Omega+\|\rho\|=n-m$, we have

$$\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho}=(1-\varepsilon_{m+1})\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n)C_n^{\Omega,\rho}(1-\varepsilon_{m+1})\cdots(1-\varepsilon_n).$$

By applying the argument of proof of Proposition 3.13, we can show that $\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho}$ are also linearly independent. □

Recall that for each $\Omega \in \Gamma(m, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+), \rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$, there exists $\Delta^{\Omega,\rho} \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ as

$$\Delta^{\Omega,\rho} = (\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho} | n \geq m).$$

By Proposition 4.10 we have reached the following.

Theorem 4.11. *The elements* $\Delta^{\Omega,\rho}$ *with* $\Omega \in \Gamma(m, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+), \rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ *form a basis of the algebra* $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$*. Moreover, for any* $k \geq 0$*, the elements* $\Delta^{\Omega,\rho}$ *with* $ord(\Omega) + \|\rho\| \leq k$ *form a basis of* $\Gamma_m^k(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m)$*.*

4.3. **The algebraic structure of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$.** Recall that for each pair $1 \leq k < l \leq n$, $t_{kl} = \sum_{g \in G} g^{(k)}(g^{-1})^{(l)} \in \mathbb{F}G^n \subseteq \mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$. For each $k = 1, \ldots, m$, we set

$$u_{k|n} = \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} t_{ki}(ki)(1-\varepsilon_k)(1-\varepsilon_i) = \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} (1-\varepsilon_i)t_{ki}(ki)(1-\varepsilon_i). \tag{4.11}$$

Note that the images of $u_{k|n}(1 \leq k \leq m)$ under homomorphism Φ defined in (2.5) are $\xi_k(1 \leq k \leq m)$ the Jucys-Murphy elements for G_n; see (2.2). It is easy to check that $u_{k|n}$ commutes with $\overline{G}'_{n-m}$ for any $n \geq m$, and hence $u_{k|n} \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$. Furthermore since $\theta_n(u_{k|n}) = u_{k|n-1}$ and $\deg(u_{k|n}) = 2$, we can define the elements $u_k \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$ as the sequence

$$u_k = (u_{k|n} | n \geq m).$$

Recall that the algebra $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_m$ is generated by $g \in G^m, s_1, \ldots, s_{m-1}$ and $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_m$. Lemma 2.12 says that the algebra $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_m$ can be naturally embedded in $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.12. *The following relations hold in the algebra* $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$*:*

$$gu_k = u_k, \quad \forall g \in G^m, 1 \leq k \leq m \tag{4.12}$$

$$s_k u_k = u_{k+1}s_k + t_{k,k+1}(1-\varepsilon_k)(1-\varepsilon_{k+1}), s_k u_l = u_l s_k, l \neq k, k+1, 1 \leq k \leq m-1 \tag{4.13}$$

$$u_k u_l = u_l u_k, \quad \varepsilon_k u_k = u_k \varepsilon_k = 0, \quad , \varepsilon_l u_k = u_k \varepsilon_l, \quad 1 \leq l \neq k \leq m, \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. Since $u_k = (u_{k|n} | n \geq m)$ for each $1 \leq k \leq m$, it suffices to check these relations with u_k replaced by $u_{k|n}$ for each $1 \leq k \leq m$ in the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$ for any $n \geq m$. Note that there is a canonical embedding of $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_l$ in $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_n$ with image $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}'_l$ for each $1 \leq l \leq n$ and let us denote by Δ'^{ρ}_l the corresponding image of the element Δ^{ρ}_l for each $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$. Then we have $u_{k|n} = \Delta'^{((2),\emptyset,\ldots,\emptyset)}_{n-k+1} - \Delta'^{((2),\emptyset,\ldots,\emptyset)}_{n-k}$ for each $1 \leq k \leq m$, and hence $u_{1|n}, \ldots, u_{m|n}$ pairwise commute. The remaining relations can be easily checked by applying Proposition 2.4. □

Let us denote by $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_m(G)$ the subalgebra of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ generated by $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_m$ and the elements $u_1, \ldots, u_m$. The following theorem describes the structure of the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$.

Theorem 4.13. *We have an algebra isomorphism*

$$\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m \cong \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0 \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}}_m(G).$$

Moreover, $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_m(G)$ *is isomorphic to the algebra with generators* $g \in G^m, s_1, \ldots, s_{m-1}$ *and* $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_m$ *subject to the relations (2.5)-(2.9) and (4.12)-(4.14).*

Proof. Recall from (4.7) the definition of $\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho}$ for any $\Omega \in \Gamma(m, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+)$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ with $\mathrm{ord}(\Omega) + \|\rho\| \leq n - m$. Note that the m-degree of $\Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho}$ is $\mathrm{ord}(\Omega) + \|\rho\|$. It is easy to see that

$$\Delta_n^{\Omega,\emptyset}\Delta_n^{\mathbb{I},\rho} = \Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho} + (\cdots),$$

where $(\cdots)$ is a linear combination of elements $\Delta_n^{\Omega',\rho'}$ with $\mathrm{ord}(\Omega') + \|\rho'\| < \mathrm{ord}(\Omega) + \|\rho\|$. Furthermore, by Remark 4.5(2), we have:

$$\Delta_n^{\rho} - \Delta_n^{\mathbb{I},\rho} = \sum_{|T|=\|\rho\|} C_{n,T}^{\rho}\overline{\varepsilon}_T, \tag{4.15}$$

where the summation is over the subset T of $\mathbb{N}_n$ not contained in $\{m+1, \ldots, n\}$. Since each element appearing on the right hand side of (4.15) is of m-degree less than $\|\rho\|$, we obtain that

$$\Delta_n^{\Omega,\emptyset}\Delta_n^{\rho} = \Delta_n^{\Omega,\rho} + (\cdots),$$

where $(\cdots)$ is a linear combination of elements $\Delta_n^{\Omega',\rho'}$ with $\mathrm{ord}(\Omega') + \|\rho'\| < \mathrm{ord}(\Omega) + \|\rho\|$. Then Proposition 4.10 implies that the elements $\Delta_n^{\Omega,\emptyset}\Delta_n^{\rho}$ with $\Omega \in \Gamma(m, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+)$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ such that $\mathrm{ord}(\Omega) + \|\rho\| \leq n - m$ form a basis of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$. Hence $\Delta^{\Omega,\emptyset}\Delta^{\rho}$ with $\Omega \in \Gamma(m, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+)$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G_*)$ form a basis of the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$. This means $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ is a free $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0$-module with the basis $\{\Delta^{\Omega,\emptyset} | \ \Omega \in \Gamma(m, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+)\}$ by Theorem 3.14.

If $\Omega \in \Gamma(m, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+)$ has zero rows $i_1, \ldots, i_s$, then we can properly replace some 0's in these rows by 1 to get an element $\Omega' \in S(m, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+)$ such that $\Omega = \varepsilon_{i_1} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_s}\Omega'$. Then $\mathrm{ord}(\Omega) = \mathrm{ord}(\Omega')$ and for each subset $P \subseteq \{m+1, \ldots, n\}$ with $|P| = \mathrm{ord}(\Omega)$ we have $\Gamma(\Omega, P) = \{\varepsilon_{i_1} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_s}\gamma | \ \gamma \in \Gamma(\Omega', P)\}$. Hence

$$\Delta_n^{\Omega,\emptyset} = \varepsilon_{i_1} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_s}\Delta_n^{\Omega',\emptyset}.$$

On the other hand, each $\Omega' \in S(m, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+)$ can be written as:

$$\Omega' = \beta\alpha_1^{k_1} \cdots \alpha_m^{k_m},$$

where $\beta \in G_m$ and $\alpha_i \in \Gamma(m, G \times \mathbb{Z}_+)$ is the diagonal matrix whose (i,i)th entry is z and all other diagonal entries are equal to 1 and $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then we have

$$\Delta_n^{\Omega',\emptyset} = \Delta_n^{\beta,\emptyset}(\Delta_n^{\alpha_1,\emptyset})^{k_1} \cdots (\Delta_n^{\alpha_m,\emptyset})^{k_m} + (\cdots),$$

where $(\cdots)$ is a linear combination of elements $\Delta_n^{\Omega'',\rho''}$ with $\mathrm{ord}(\Omega'') + \|\rho''\| < \mathrm{ord}(\Omega')$. By Remark 4.5(1), for $\beta \in G_m$ we have

$$\Delta_n^{\beta,\emptyset} = \beta.$$

For each $1 \leq k \leq m$, $\mathrm{ord}(\alpha_k) = 1$, then it can be checked that

$$\Delta_n^{\alpha_k,\emptyset} = \sum_{l=m+1}^{n} (1 - \varepsilon_l)t_{kl}(kl)(1 - \varepsilon_l). \tag{4.16}$$

Indeed, in the case of α_1 and $P = \{l\}$ with $m + 1 \leq l \leq n$, we have

$$\Gamma(\alpha_1, P) = \{\gamma \in \overline{G}_{m,n} | \gamma_{1l}\gamma_{l1} = 1, \gamma_{ij} = \delta_{ij}, i, j \neq 1, l\} \subseteq G_n,$$

and it is easy to see

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma(\alpha_1,P)} \overline{\varepsilon}_P\gamma\overline{\varepsilon}_P = (1-\varepsilon_l)t_{1l}(1l)(1-\varepsilon_l).$$

Hence

$$\Delta_n^{\alpha_1,\emptyset} = \sum_{l=m+1}^{n}(1-\varepsilon_l)t_{1l}(1l)(1-\varepsilon_l).$$

Then by (4.16) and definition of $u_{k|n}$ in (4.11) we have for each $1\le k\le m$

$$\Delta_n^{\alpha_k,\emptyset} = u_{k|n} + \text{elements of } m\text{-degree zero.}$$

By now we can conclude that $\beta u_{1|n}^{k_1}\cdots u_{m|n}^{k_m}$ coincides with $\Delta_n^{\beta,\emptyset}(\Delta_n^{\alpha_1,\emptyset})^{k_1}\cdots(\Delta_n^{\alpha_m,\emptyset})^{k_m}$ modulo lower m-degree terms and hence $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ as $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0$-module has a basis

$$\{\gamma u_1^{k_1}\cdots u_m^{k_m}|\ \gamma\in\overline{G}_m, k_l\in\mathbb{Z}_+, 1\le l\le m\}.$$

Note that the subalgebra $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_m(G)$ is spanned by $\gamma u_1^{k_1}\cdots u_m^{k_m}$ with $\gamma\in\overline{G}_m, k_l\in\mathbb{Z}_+, 1\le l\le m$. Hence $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m\cong\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_0\otimes\overline{\mathcal{H}}_m(G)$. Moreover the subset

$$\{\gamma u_1^{k_1}\cdots u_m^{k_m}|\ \gamma\in\overline{G}_m, k_l\in\mathbb{Z}_+, 1\le l\le m\}$$

form a basis of the subalgebra $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_m(G)$ and hence the second claim follows since there exists a canonical epimorphism from the abstract algebra with generators $g\in G^m, s_1,\ldots,s_{m-1}$ and $\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_m$ subject to the relations (2.5)-(2.9) and (4.12)-(4.14) to the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_m(G)$ by Lemma 4.12. □

5. The centralizers $\mathcal{Z}_{m,n}$ and wreath Hecke algebras

In this section we shall formulate the connection between the centralizer $\mathcal{Z}_{m,n}$ of G'_{n-m} in $\mathbb{F}G_n$ and the notion of wreath Hecke algebras $\mathcal{H}_m(G)$ introduced in [WW].

5.1. The connection between $\mathcal{Z}_{m,n}$ and $\mathcal{H}_m(G)$.

The following lemma will be used later on.

Lemma 5.1. *The mapping*

$$\overline{\Psi}:\overline{\mathcal{H}}_m(G)\longrightarrow\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n} \tag{5.1}$$

$$g\mapsto g, s_l\mapsto s_l, \varepsilon_k\mapsto\varepsilon_k, u_k\mapsto u_{k|n}, 1\le l\le m-1, 1\le k\le m \tag{5.2}$$

defines an algebra homomorphism. Moreover, the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$ is generated by the image of φ and the center of the subalgebra $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}'_{n-m}$.

Proof. The first claim is obvious. It follows from the proof of Theorem 4.13 that $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$ is generated by $\gamma(u_{1|n})^{k_1}\cdots(u_{m|n})^{k_m}\Delta_n^{\mathbb{I},\rho}$ with $\gamma\in\overline{G}_m, k_1,\ldots,k_m\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ and $k_1+\cdots+k_m+\|\rho\|\le n-m$. This implies that $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$ is generated by the image of φ and the elements $\Delta_n^{\mathbb{I},\rho}$ with $\|\rho\|\le n-m$. By Remark 4.5, we have $\Delta_n^{\mathbb{I},\rho}=\sum_{T\subseteq\{m+1,\ldots,n\},|T|=\|\rho\|}C_{n,T}^{\rho}\overline{\varepsilon}_T$. Observe that the algebra $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}'_{n-m}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}_{n-m}$. Hence the elements $\Delta_n^{\mathbb{I},\rho}$ with $\rho\in\mathcal{P}(G_*)$ and $\|\rho\|\le n-m$ form a basis of center of the subalgebra $\mathbb{F}\overline{G}'_{n-m}$ by Proposition 3.13. □

The wreath Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_m(G)$ (see [WW]) is defined to be the algebra with generators $g \in G^m, s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_m$ with the defining relations (2.5)-(2.9) and

$$\begin{aligned} &gx_k = x_k g, \\ &s_k x_k = x_{k+1} s_k + t_{k,k+1}, \quad s_k x_l = x_l s_k, \quad l \neq k, k+1 \\ &x_k x_l = x_l x_k. \end{aligned}$$

Then the following is obvious from Theorem 4.13.

Lemma 5.2. *The mapping*

$$g \mapsto g, \quad s_k \mapsto s_k, \quad u_l \mapsto x_l, \quad \varepsilon_l \mapsto 0$$

defines an algebra epimorphism $\overline{\Phi} : \overline{\mathcal{H}}_m(G) \to \mathcal{H}_m(G)$.

Recall from Lemma 2.6 that the retraction homomorphism Φ in (2.5) maps $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n}$ onto $\mathcal{Z}_{m,n}$. Then the following lemma can be easily checked by Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2.

Proposition 5.3. *The mapping*

$$\Psi : \mathcal{H}_m(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{m,n},$$

$$g \mapsto g, s_k \mapsto (k, k+1), x_l \mapsto \sum_{i=l+1}^{n} t_{li}$$

is an algebra homomorphism. Moreover the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \overline{\mathcal{H}}_m(G) \arrow[r, "\overline{\Psi}"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}"'] & \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{m,n} \arrow[d, "\Phi"] \\ \mathcal{H}_m(G) \arrow[r, "\Psi"] & \mathcal{Z}_{m,n} \end{tikzcd}$$

Hence the algebra $\mathcal{Z}_{m,n}$ *is generated by the center of the subalgebra* $\mathbb{F}G'_{n-m}$ *and the image of* Ψ.

5.2. **The Gelfand-Zetlin algebra for G_n.** Recall that $\mathcal{Z}_{0,m}$ is the center of the algebra $\mathbb{F}G_m$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{Z}'_{0,m}$ the center of the subalgebra $\mathbb{F}G'_m$ for each $0 \le m \le n$. Clearly we have $\mathcal{Z}_{0,m} \cong \mathcal{Z}'_{0,m}$.

Lemma 5.4. *The centralizer* $(\mathbb{F}G_n)^{G \times G'_{n-1}}$ *of* $G \times G'_{n-1}$ *in* $\mathbb{F}G_n$ *is generated by* $\mathcal{Z}'_{0,n-1}$, $\mathcal{Z}_{0,1}$ *and* ξ_1. *In particular,* $(\mathbb{F}G_n)^{G \times G'_{n-1}}$ *is commutative.*

Proof. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{Z}'_{0,n-1}$, $\mathcal{Z}_{0,1}$ and ξ_1 belong to $(\mathbb{F}G_n)^{G \times G'_{n-1}}$. On the other hand, $(\mathbb{F}G_n)^{G \times G'_{n-1}}$ is isomorphic to the centralizer of $G = G_1$ in the subalgebra $(\mathbb{F}G_n)^{G'_{n-1}}$ which is generated by $\mathcal{Z}'_{0,n-1}, G_1$ and ξ_1 by Proposition 5.3. Observe that G commutes with $\mathcal{Z}'_{0,n-1}$ and ξ_1, and hence $(\mathbb{F}G_n)^{G \times G'_{n-1}}$ is contained in the subalgebra of $(\mathbb{F}G_n)^{G'_{n-1}}$ generated by $\mathcal{Z}'_{0,n-1}$, ξ_1 and $\mathcal{Z}_{0,1}$. □

From now on we assume that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. The following lemma is standard.

Lemma 5.5. [K, Lemma 1.0.1] *Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be semisimple finite dimensional $\mathbb{F}$-algebras and let $\mathcal{C}$ be the centralizer of $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{A}$. If V is irreducible over $\mathcal{A}$ and W is irreducible over $\mathcal{B}$, then*

$$Hom_{\mathcal{B}}(W, res^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{B}} V)$$

is irreducible over $\mathcal{C}$.

Corollary 5.6. *Let V be an irreducible $\mathbb{C}G_n$-module. Then the restriction $res^{\mathbb{C}G_n}_{\mathbb{C}(G\times G'_{n-1})} V$ is multiplicity free.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.5, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}(G\times G'_{n-1})}(W, \mathrm{res}^{\mathbb{F}G_n}_{\mathbb{C}(G\times G'_{n-1})} V)$ is irreducible over the centralizer $(\mathbb{C}G_n)^{G\times G'_{n-1}}$ for any irreducible $\mathbb{C}(G \times G'_{n-1})$-module W and hence has dimension one or zero since the centralizer $(\mathbb{C}G_n)^{G\times G'_{n-1}}$ is commutative by Lemma 5.4. Then it follows that the restriction $\mathrm{res}^{\mathbb{C}G_n}_{\mathbb{C}G\times G'_{n-1}} V$ is multiplicity free. $\square$

Suppose $\{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_r\}$ is a complete set of nonisomorphic irreducible $\mathbb{C}G$-modules and fix a basis Π_i for V_i with $1 \le i \le r$.

Now decompose the algebra $\mathbb{C}G_n$ according to the Wedderburn theorem

$$\mathbb{C}G_n = \bigoplus_U \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(U),$$

where the sum is over the representation of the isoclasses of irreducible $\mathbb{C}G_n$-modules. Let U be an irreducible $\mathbb{C}G_n$-module. Then Corollary 5.6 implies that $\mathrm{res}^{\mathbb{C}G_n}_{\mathbb{C}G\times G'_{n-1}} U = \bigoplus_{1\le k\le t} V_{i_k} \bigotimes W_{i_k}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and irreducible $\mathbb{C}G_{n-1}$-modules $W_{i_1}, \ldots, W_{i_t}$. Furthermore, $V_{i_k} \otimes W_{i_k} \ncong V_{i_s} \otimes W_{i_s}$ for $1 \le k \ne s \le t$ as $G \times G'_{n-1}$-modules.

Decomposing each W_{i_k} on restriction to $G \times G'_{n-2}$, and continuing inductively all the way to $G \times G \times \ldots \times G$, we get a canonical decomposition

$$\mathrm{res}_{G^n} U = \bigoplus_T V_{T_1} \otimes V_{T_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{T_n}$$

where $T_j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ for $1 \le j \le n$, into irreducible $\mathbb{C}G^n$-modules, where T runs over all possibilities:

$$T : V_{T_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{T_n} \to V_{T_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{T_{n-2}} \otimes W_n \to \cdots \to V_{T_1} \otimes W_2 \to U.$$

Each possible T is called a path. We already have a fixed basis Π_i for each V_i. So we have a fixed basis Π_T for $V_{T_1} \otimes V_{T_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{T_n}$ for each possible path T. Finally, we get a basis Π_U for each irreducible $\mathbb{C}G_n$-module U, which is called a *Gelfand-Zetlin* basis for U. Then we can identify

$$\mathbb{C}G_n = \bigoplus_U \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{dim}U}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Define the *Gelfand-Zetlin* algebra $\mathcal{A}_n \subseteq \mathbb{C}G_n$ as the subalgebra consisting of all elements of $\mathbb{C}G_n$, which are diagonal with respect to our fixed basis in every irreducible $\mathbb{C}G_n$-module. Clearly, $\mathcal{A}_n$ is a maximal commutative subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}G_n$. Also, $\mathcal{A}_n$ is semisimple. Note that in the case when $G = \{1\}$, $\mathcal{A}_n$ coincides with the Gelfand-Zetlin algebra for the symmetric group S_n.

On the other hand by taking the fixed basis Π_i for V_i with $1 \leq i \leq r$ we can also decompose the algebra $\mathbb{C}G$ according to the Wedderburn Theorem as

$$\mathbb{C}G = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} \mathrm{M}_{\dim V_i}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Define Λ to be the subalgebra which consists of all elements of $\mathbb{C}G$, which are diagonal with respect to our fixed basis Π_i in each V_i for $1 \leq i \leq r$. That means Λ consists of all diagonal matrices under the above identification. Clearly, Λ is a maximal commutative subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}G$. Also, Λ is semi-simple. Denote by $\Lambda^{(k)}$ the corresponding subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}G^{(k)}$ in $\mathbb{C}G_n$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Theorem 5.7. *Retain the above notations. Then we have*

(1) *$\mathcal{A}_n$ is generated by the subalgebras $\mathcal{Z}'_{0,1}, \ldots, \mathcal{Z}'_{0,n}, \Lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \Lambda^{(n)}$.*

(2) *$\mathcal{A}_n$ is generated by $\Lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \Lambda^{(n)}$ and the JM-elements $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n$.*

Proof. Let e_U be the central idempotent of $\mathbb{C}G_n$, which acts as identity on U and as zero on any irreducible $\mathbb{C}G_n$-modules $U' \ncong U$. If $T : V_{T_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{T_n} \to V_{T_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{T_{n-2}} \otimes U_n \to \cdots \to V_{T_1} \otimes U_2 \to U$ is one possibility of decomposition, then $e^{(1)}_{V_{T_1}} e^{(2)}_{V_{T_2}} \cdots e^{(n)}_{V_{T_n}} \cdot e^{(1)}_{V_{T_1}} \cdots e^{(n-2)}_{V_{T_{n-2}}} e_{U_n} \cdot \cdots \cdot e^{(1)}_{V_{T_1}} e_{U_2} \cdot e_U$ acts on U the projection to $V_{T_1} \otimes V_{T_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{T_n}$ and as zero on any irreducible $\mathbb{C}G_n$-module $U' \ncong U$.

Let $v_{j_1} \otimes v_{j_2} \cdots v_{j_n} \in \Pi_T$ and let $f_{j_k} \in \Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{C}G$ be the element acting on V_{T_k} as the projection to v_{j_k} and acting as zero on any irreducible $\mathbb{C}G$-module $V' \ncong V_{T_k}$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then $f^{(1)}_{j_1} f^{(2)}_{j_2} \cdots f^{(n)}_{j_n}$ acts as the projection to $v_{j_1} \otimes v_{j_2} \cdots v_{j_n}$ of $\mathbb{F}G^n$-module $V_{T_1} \otimes V_{T_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{T_n}$. Therefore $f^{(1)}_{j_1} f^{(2)}_{j_2} \cdots f^{(n)}_{j_n} \cdot e^{(1)}_{V_{T_1}} e^{(2)}_{V_{T_2}} \cdots e^{(n)}_{V_{T_n}} \cdot e^{(1)}_{V_{T_1}} \cdots e^{(n-2)}_{V_{T_{n-2}}} e_{U_n} \cdot \cdots \cdot e^{(1)}_{V_{T_1}} e_{U_2} \cdot e_U$ acts as the projection of U to the one-dimensional subspace $\mathbb{F} v_{j_1} \otimes v_{j_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_n}$ and acts as zero on other irreducible $\mathbb{F}G_n$-module $U' \ncong U$. However $f^{(1)}_{j_1} f^{(2)}_{j_2} \cdots f^{(n)}_{j_n} \cdot e^{(1)}_{V_{T_1}} e^{(2)}_{V_{T_2}} \cdots e^{(n)}_{V_{T_n}} \cdot e_{U_2} e^{(3)}_{V_{T_3}} \cdots e^{(n)}_{V_{T_n}} \cdot \cdots \cdot e_{U_{n-1}} e^{(n)}_{V_{T_n}} \cdot e_V \in \langle \mathcal{Z}'_{0,1}, \ldots, \mathcal{Z}'_{0,n}, \Lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \Lambda^{(n)} \rangle$ since $e_{U_m} \in \mathcal{Z}'_{0,n-m+1}$. That means that $\mathcal{A}_n$ is contained in the subalgebra generated by $\mathcal{Z}'_{0,1}, \ldots, \mathcal{Z}'_{0,n}$, and $\Lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \Lambda^{(n)}$. But we already know $\mathcal{A}_n$ is a maximal commutative subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}G_n$. Hence $\mathcal{A}_n = \langle \mathcal{Z}'_{0,1}, \ldots, \mathcal{Z}'_{0,n}, \Lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \Lambda^{(n)} \rangle$.

Note that ξ_k is the sum of all elements with type $\rho = (\rho(C))_{C \in G_*}$, where $\rho(1) = (21^{k-2}), \rho(C) = \emptyset$ for $C \neq 1$ in $\mathbb{C}G'_k$ minus the sum of all elements with type $\tau = (\tau(C))_{C \in G_*}$, where $\tau(1) = (21^{k-3}), \tau(C) = \emptyset$ for $C \neq 1$ in $\mathbb{C}G'_{k-1}$. So $\xi_k \in \langle \mathcal{Z}'_{0,k}, \mathcal{Z}'_{0,k-1} \rangle$. Thus $\xi_k \in \mathcal{A}_n$. Therefore $\langle \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n, \Lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \Lambda^{(n)} \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{A}_n$. We shall use the induction on n to prove $\mathcal{A}_n \subseteq \langle \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n, \Lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \Lambda^{(n)} \rangle$. Identify $\mathbb{C}G_{n-1}$ with $\mathbb{C}G'_{n-1}$ in $\mathbb{C}G_n$ and let us denote by $\mathcal{A}'_{n-1}$ the subalgebra in $\mathbb{C}G'_{n-1}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}$ in $\mathbb{C}G_{n-1}$. Then we have $\mathcal{A}'_{n-1} = \langle \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n, \Lambda^{(2)}, \ldots, \Lambda^{(n)} \rangle$. So it follows from (1) that $\mathcal{A}_n = \langle \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n, \Lambda^{(2)}, \ldots, \Lambda^{(n)}, \mathcal{Z}'_{0,n}, \Lambda^{(1)} \rangle$. It suffices to show $\mathcal{Z}'_{0,n} \subseteq \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \ldots, \xi_n, \Lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \Lambda^{(n)} \rangle$.

We know $\mathcal{Z}'_{0,n}$ is the center of $\mathbb{C}G_n$, and hence $\mathcal{Z}'_{0,n} \subseteq \mathbb{C}G_n^{G \times G'_{n-1}} = \langle \mathcal{Z}'_{0,n-1}, \xi_1, \mathcal{Z}_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathcal{Z}'_{0,n-1}, \xi_n, \Lambda^{(1)} \rangle$ since $\mathcal{Z}_{0,1} \subseteq \Lambda^{(1)}$. But $\mathcal{Z}'_{0,n-1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}'_{n-1} \subseteq \langle \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n, \Lambda^{(2)}, \ldots, \Lambda^{(n)} \rangle$. So $\mathcal{Z}'_{0,n-1} \subseteq \langle \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n, \Lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \Lambda^{(n)} \rangle$ as desired. $\square$

Remark 5.8. Note that Theorem 5.7 specializes to [O1, Theorem 11] when $G = \{1\}$. Then we can extend the new approach for representations of symmetric groups established by Okounkov and Vershik in [OV] to the wreath products by taking the advantage of the classification of finite dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{H}_m(G)$-modules obtained in [WW]. We omit this part in the present paper as it overlaps significantly with [Pu].

References

[Dr] V.G. Drinfeld, *Degenerate affine Hecke algebras and Yangians*, Functional Anal. Appl. **20** (1986), 62–64.

[JK] G. James and A. Kerber, *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Groups*, Addison-Wesley, London, 1980.

[K] A. Kleshchev, *Linear and Projective Representations of Symmetric Groups*, Cambridge University Press, 2005.

[Lus] G. Lusztig, *Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1989), 599–635.

[Mac] I.G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Second edition, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.

[MO] A.I. Molev and G.I. Olshanski, *Degenerate Affine Hecke algebras and centralizer construction for the symmetric groups*, J. Algebra **237** (2001), 302–341.

[O1] G.I. Olshanski, *Extension of the algebra $U(g)$ for infinite- dimensional classical Lie algebras g, and the Yangians $Y(gl(m))$*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **36**, No. 3 (1988), 569–573.

[O2] G.I. Olshanski, *Representations of inifinite-dimensional classical groups, limits of enveloping algebras, and Yangians*, in: A.A. Kirillov (Ed.), Topics in Representation Theory, Advances in Soviet Mathematics, Vol. 2, AMS, Providence, RI, 1991, 1–66.

[OV] A. Okounkov and A. Vershik, *A new approach to representation theory of symmetric groups*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **2** (1996), 581–605.

[Pu] I.A. Pushkarev, *On the representation theory of wreath products of finite groups and symmetric groups*, J. Math. Sci. **96** (1999), 3590–3599.

[RS] A. Ram and A. Shepler, *Classification of graded Hecke algebras for complex reflection groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **78** (2003), 308–334.

[WW] J. Wan and W. Wang, *Modular representations and branching rules for wreath Hecke algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2008, Art. ID rnn128, 31 pp.

[W1] W. Wang, *Vertex algebras and the class algebras of wreath products*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **88** (2004), 381–404.

[W2] W. Wang, *The Farahat Higman ring of wreath products and Hilbert schemes*, Adv. in Math. **187** (2004), 417-446.

Department of Mathematics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville,VA 22904, USA.
E-mail address: `jw5ar@virginia.edu`